Feasibility of an Air Cherenkov Array as an Atmospheric Neutrino Veto



Devyn Rysewyk and Tyce DeYoung IceCube Particle Astrophysics Symposium Madison, 2017

Astrophysical Neutrino Spectrum

- Growing evidence the astrophysical neutrino spectrum does not follow a simple power law
 - Multiple populations of sources, complex source dynamics,...?
- Atmospheric neutrinos limit the observable energy range
 - Something interesting at a few 10's of TeV?
 - Low energy behavior important for understanding relationship to extragalactic gamma ray background



 10^{4}

 10^{5}

Deposited energy [GeV]

 10^{6}

MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY

Devyn Rysewyk & Tyce DeYoung,

 10^{-1}

 10^{3}

 10^{7}

Atmospheric Neutrino Veto

- Atmospheric neutrinos are a foreground to neutrino telescopes
- Can be vetoed by detecting the neutrinos' parent air showers





Schönert, Gaisser, Resconi and Schulz, *Phys. Rev.* D79, 043009 (2009), Gaisser, Jero, Karle and van Santen, *Phys. Rev.* D90, 023009 (2014)

Air Cherenkov Veto Air shower See J. Auffenberg's talk (next session) for one potential design: IceACT Muon detectors under 1.5 km ulletoverburden not the most obvious way to detect air showers • Alternatively, look for particles Telescopes reaching the surface or Cherenkov / light pool Cherenkov photons emitted by surface level the shower ν_{μ} Inexpensive Cherenkov detectors offer intrinsic directionality and low threshold, light pool extends for hundreds of meters Main drawbacks are restricted Neutrino duty cycle, background stability detector

Cherenkov Emission

- Photon density falls off more rapidly beyond ~100 m from shower axis
 - Shower-to-shower variation is larger in the center, density is more consistent at edges
- Photon spectrum flattened somewhat by atmospheric attenuation
 - Weakly dependent on energy and zenith (to 60°) → ignore for now
- Arrive in a fast pulse: 20 ns window collects ~75% of photons even at edges of light pool



Background Light

- Night sky background
 - Using a model from La Palma/Namibia (should be conservative for South Pole)
 - Roughly follows $\lambda^{3.5}$ spectrum
- Dark counts
 - Dark rate of SensL-C SiPM is 200 Hz/mm² at -30°C (highest recorded SP winter temp.)
 - For a 61-pixel camera with 6 mm x 6 mm
 SiPMs: <1% probability of a single dark
 count in a 20 ns trigger window → ignore
- Aurora australis
 - Emission primarily in lines (notch filter?) not believed to be show-stopper in U or B bands, but still under investigation



Photon Detection Efficiency

- Assumed optical efficiency of telescope (lens, geometry, camera plane instrumentation, etc.): 15%
- SiPM collection efficiency
 - SensL-C assumed for now
 - New J model, better in UV?
- UG11 glass filter to cut out long wavelengths
 - Extends lower than sensitivity of currently assumed SiPM model
 - Inclusion under study

MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY



Straw Man Trigger

- Envision buffering trigger primitives for few seconds, readout only for IceCube trigger coincidence
- NSB background rate 6.36 x 10⁻²
 PE pixel⁻¹ (20 ns)⁻¹ m⁻² after efficiencies
- Toy MC of a coincidence trigger for an IceACT-like telescope: Q_{tot} in 20 ns in three neighboring pixels
 - Threshold of ≥3 PE gives 0.2% accidentals → ~120 kHz rate
 - Threshold of 4 PE gives accidental trigger chance of ~4 x 10⁻⁵ → ~2.2 kHz



Shower Detection Distance

- Simulated vertical proton showers at three energies with Corsika
 - Most missed showers penetrate too deeply, Cherenkov light pool doesn't have time to spread out
 - Vertical protons are therefore worst case
- ~99% of 100 TeV showers detectable out to 150 m

MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY

 Corresponds to ~30 TeV atmospheric neutrinos



Devyn Rysewyk & Tyce DeYoung, IPA 2017

Multi-Telescope Stations



• Envision stations with multiple small telescopes in a fly's-eye arrangement

A Possible Air Cherenkov Veto Array



• Also investigating a ring array for Galactic Center → comparable # telescopes

Impact on Neutrino Astronomy

- Preliminary measurements from South Pole indicate
 ~20% duty cycle is possible
- For a source in the field of view with dN/dE ~ E^{-2.5}
 spectrum, factor of 2-3 increase in through-going muon rate
 - Assumes step-function efficiency, needs to be refined



• Could also increase fiducial volume for starting events (now only 40%)

Larger Telescopes?

- Assume telescope collection area of 0.50 m² (~2x IceACT)
 - Crudest approach: two IceACTs
 - Different SiPMs might increase collection with better S/N
- ~99% of <u>50</u> TeV showers detectable out to 150 m
 - Alternatively, could increase spacing, but tail of penetrating showers is tough



Outlook

- Preliminary studies indicate air shower detection with inexpensive air Cherenkov detection is promising
 - Primary cosmic ray threshold of 50-100 TeV seems possible → corresponds to neutrino veto threshold around 20-30 TeV
 - Critical energy range for investigating Galactic sources, lower-energy behavior of IceCube astrophysical neutrino flux
- Potential to increase rate of through-going neutrino-induced muons by a factor of several for a source in the field of view
- Reasonable sky coverage appears possible at several M\$ cost scale (MRI)
- Full detector simulation, characterization of background levels and environmental issues at site now underway

Aurora Australis



Aurora Australis

- 90th percentile of 428 nm line intensity reported by Dempsey (SP) is factor of 2.5-6.3 above assumed *B* band NSB
 - 6x assumed NSB rate would give MHz rate at 4 PE threshold
- Sims et al. (Dome A) report similar median but 4x lower
 90th percentile during
 2009 solar maximum
- Haven't found any U band data – better to rely on our own *in situ* measurements





Shower Detection with Increased Threshold

- Assume higher threshold required to reduce rate in electronics
 - For NSB alone, 4 PE triplet rate ~2200 Hz
- ~97% of 100 TeV showers still detected out to 150 m
 - Probably 99% after accounting for overlap in station grid



Station Layout

Know we want stations with radius of 150 meters.

Create a grid of hexagons that can be inscribed in a circle of radius 150 m.



Stations are located at the center of the hexagons/circles.

Showers near 150 m from stations may be seen by more than one station \rightarrow "detectable by one telescope" criteria may be overconservative.



Large Overhead Array



Camera Comparison: VERITAS vs. IceACT



Devyn Rysewyk & Tyce DeYoung, IPA 2017