A Search for Cosmic-ray Proton Anisotropies with the Fermi Large Area Telescope

ra Space escope

www.nasa.gov/fermi

Matthew Meehan

Justin Vandenbroucke On Behalf of the Fermi-LAT Collaboration

IceCube Particle Astrophysics Symposium May 9, 2017



Outline

- Motivation
- Fermi Large Area Telescope
- Event selection
- Anisotropy search
- Initial results
- Outlook and sensitivity

Matthew Meehan | IPA | 5/9/2017

Gamma-ray Space Telescope





Anisotropy Measurements





Matthew Meehan | IPA | 5/9/2017

Limitations of ground-based observations

- Declination dependence unconstrained
- Mixed species
 - Partial sky coverage







Fermi Large Area Telescope

Fermi Gamma-ray Space Telescope launched in June 2008

- Low earth orbit (565 km)
- **Two Instruments**
 - Large Area Telescope (LAT)
 - Gamma-ray Burst Monitor (GBM)



Matthew Meehan | IPA | 5/9/2017





Large Area Telescope

- Pair conversion gamma-ray telescope
- -2.4 sr instantaneous field of view
- Full-sky coverage every ~3 hrs



Fermi LAT Subsystems

Calorimeter (CAL)

- 8 layers of Csl crystals
- 3D image of shower
- 8.5 radiation lengths
- 0.5 nuclear interaction lengths



CAL

Matthew Meehan | IPA | 5/9/2017



Tracker (TKR)

- •18 layers X and Y Si strips
- Tungsten layers to promote pair conversion

- **Anti-Coincidence Detector (ACD)**
- Segmented scintillator tiles
- Charged particle identification







Event Selection

- •8 years of Pass 8 data (final analysis)
 - 1 year only (this talk)
- •100 GeV 10 TeV
- •Quality cuts
 - **– Deposit > 20 GeV in the CAL**
- Use ACD and TKR to measure charge (right)
 - Residual Z>1 contamination
 < 1%</p>

ACD Deposited Energy [MeV]







Lepton-Hadron Separation

- Dedicated classifier developed for Fermi LAT e+/e- analyses
- •Uses differences in leptonic vs. hadronic showers
 - -e.g. hadronic showers are wider in transverse direction

Rate [Hz]

- •8 energy bins
 - -178 316 GeV (shown)
- Residual lepton contamination < 1%









Equatorial Sky Maps



Expected anisotropy O(10⁻⁴ - 10⁻³) - Cannot estimate exposure from simulation!

Matthew Meehan | IPA | 5/9/2017

Data-driven method of creating reference map **– Detector response to an isotropic sky**









Matthew Meehan | IPA | 5/9/2017

$$(\alpha, \delta) = \frac{\Delta N_i}{\langle N_i \rangle} = \frac{N_i(\alpha, \delta) - \langle N_i(\alpha, \delta) \rangle}{\langle N_i(\alpha, \delta) \rangle}$$

Spherical harmonic decomposition - Multipole coefficients alm

dipole amplitude
$$\delta = \sqrt{\frac{3}{4\pi}} \sqrt{(a_{1-1}^2 + a_{10}^2 + a_{11}^2)}$$







Angular Power Spectrum

- 1 year analysis of flight data
 Blind to full, 8 year data set
- •Angular scale ~ 180°/l
- Consistent with isotropy
 - -Expected with 1 year of data
- Full analysis will have 8x statistics

 C_N

 O_{l}

$$C_{I}$$
 = measured power
 C_{N} = power due to poisson noise

$$\hat{C}_{l} = \frac{1}{2l+1} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} |\hat{a}_{lm}|^{2}$$







Dipole Sensitivity

•Fermi LAT 8 year sensitivity	10^{-1} F
-Integral energy bins	Ē
•AMS-02 UL	-
-Integral energy bins	δ
 Ground-based measurements 	P 10 ⁻²
-Projection onto right ascension	plit
(i.e. no sensitivity to a ₁₀)	A A
-Lower limit on true anisotropy on	
sky	
$\sum \sqrt{3} \sqrt{(\alpha^2 + \alpha^2)}$	
$V = \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{4\pi}} \sqrt{(a_{1-1} + a_{10} + a_{11})}$	10^{-4}

Matthew Meehan | IPA | 5/9/2017





First Constraints on Declination Component

 10^{-1}

 $rac{3}{4\pi} a_{10}$

 10^{-4}

Fermi LAT sensitivity to a₁₀ component of dipole anisotropy compared to previous measurements

- -Ground-based observatories not sensitive to this component
- -We will constrain full-sky phase of dipole

Declination component of dipole











Summary and Outlook











Backup

Matthew Meehan | IPA | 5/9/2017



Anisotropy Search Method

- **1. Analyze relative intensity**
- 2. Spherical harmonic decomposition
- 3. Angular power spectrum
 - -Sensitive to anisotropies at all angular scales
- 4. Dipole amplitude
 - -Sensitive to dipole anisotropy in both right ascension and declination















Cosmic-ray intensities



Model of the cosmic-ray particles fluxes from background-simulation. Note that particle energy is reconstructed under the gamma-ray hypothesis and does not necessarily represent actual energy for hadrons in this plot.

Matthew Meehan | IPA | 5/9/2017



Ackermann, M., et al. 2012. ApJS, 203, 4





Instrument response



Angular error between true track direction and reconstructed track direction from simulation

68% containment = 0.02°

Matthew Meehan | IPA | 5/9/2017



Energy smearing matrix comparing reconstructed energy to true energy from simulation





Dipole orientation



- Simulation (right)
 - **–1% dipole injected at different** dipole orientations
 - $-\alpha$ = angle between dipole and declination axis
- **Reconstructed dipole independent** of orientation on sky









Sensitivity estimate

$$C_{l} = \frac{1}{2l+1} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} |a_{lm}|^{2}$$

$$\delta = 3\sqrt{\frac{C_1}{4\pi}}$$

$$C_l \sim \chi^2_{2l+1}$$

$$P(\delta)d\delta = \frac{8\pi\delta^2}{9}\sqrt{\frac{6}{C_N^3}}\exp\left(-\frac{2\pi\delta^2}{3C_N}\right)d\delta$$

$$C_N = \frac{4\pi}{N}$$

Matthew Meehan | IPA | 5/9/2017





Geomagnetic contamination

- •Geomagnetic deflection can create false positive in anisotropy search
- E-W effect present in horizontal coordinates -Perform analysis in this coordinate system
- Make energy-dependent instrument theta cuts to remove CRs arriving from near earth's horizon



Matthew Meehan | IPA | 5/9/2017





