

Dark Matter IceCube/Antares joined analysis/ analyses and latest results and estimations Carsten Rott Sungkyunkwan University, Korea Ct I, 2016

MANTS



- Motivation
- Overview of current searches and results
  - Galactic Halo / Galactic Center
  - Solar WIMPs
  - Earth WIMPs
  - High-energy neutrinos
- Discussion topics
- Conclusions

## Motivation





### **χ** Indirect Searches with Neutrinos

### WIMP - Weakly Interacting Massive Particle



$$\tilde{\chi} \qquad \qquad W^+, Z, \tau^+, b, \dots \Rightarrow e^\pm, \upsilon, \gamma, p, D, \dots$$
$$\tilde{\chi} \qquad \qquad W^-, Z, \tau^-, \overline{b}, \dots \Rightarrow e^\mp, \upsilon, \gamma, \overline{p}, D, \dots$$



- Production
  - Colliders
- Indirect Searches
  - Annihilation of Dark Matter in Galactic Halo, ...
    - Gamma-rays, electrons, neutrinos, anti-matter, ...
  - Annihilation signals from WIMPs captured in the Sun and Earth
    - Neutrinos
- Direct Searches
  - WIMP scattering of nucleons
    - → Nuclear recoils





### X Indirect Searches with Neutrinos

### WIMP - Weakly Interacting Massive Particle







- Production
  - Colliders
- Indirect Searches
  - Annihilation of Dark Matter in Galactic Halo, ...
    - Gamma-rays, electrons, neutrinos, anti-matter, ...
  - Annihilation signals from WIMPs captured in the Sun and Earth

• Neutrinos

- Direct Searches
  - WIMP scattering of nucleons
    - → Nuclear recoils





# Dark Matter Signals







Dwarf Spheroidal





Extra-galactic



### Galactic Halo / Galactic Centre



### Dark Matter Annihilation Signals

 Identify overdense regions of dark matter

 $\Rightarrow$ self-annihilation can occur at significant rates

- Pick prominent Dark Matter target
- Understand / predict backgrounds
- Exploit features in the signal to better distinguish against backgrounds







### Dark Matter Annihilation Signals

 Identify overdense regions of dark matter

⇒self-annihilation can occur at significant rates

- Pick prominent Dark Matter target
- Understand / predict backgrounds
- Exploit features in the signal to better distinguish against backgrounds







## Sources



## Dark Matter Annihilation



## **Dark Matter Annihilation**



MANTS 2016, Oct 1-2, 2016

# Halo Profiles and J-factors



Carsten Rott

### **ANTARES Galactic Center Analysis**

#### ANTARES Coll. JCAP 1510 (2015) no.10, 068



11

Carsten Rott

MANTS 2016, Oct 1-2, 2016

### IceCube Dark Matter Halo Analyses

IceCube Collaboration arXiv:1606.00209v1



- high-purity neutrino sample (up-going muon events)
- large scale distribution (cascades event) optimally suited)
- Assume annihilation into VV, bb,  $\mu\mu$ ,  $\tau\tau$ , WW

Models motivated by increase in positron fraction can be tested





the signal fraction

#### Carsten Rott 📢

## Baikal Galactic Centre

#### Baikal AstroPhys 81 (2016)



- Dataset collected during 1998–2003
- Maximum likelihood method
- Limits computed for various channels

Astrophysical factor Visibility  

$$J_{a,\Delta\Omega} = \int d(\cos\psi) d\phi J_a(\psi) \epsilon(\psi, \phi).$$

$$N(\Psi) = T \frac{\langle \sigma_a v \rangle R_0 \rho_0^2}{8\pi m_{DM}^2} J_{a,\Delta\Omega} S^{eff} \int_{E_{th}}^{m_{DM}} dE \frac{dN_{\nu}}{dE_{\nu}}$$



## Understanding sensitivities

- Understanding the sensitivity of neutrino detectors
  - Neutrino distribution does not change with choice of annihilation channel or WIMP mass
    - There is however a dependence introduced due to the energy dependent angular resolution
  - The signal event rate are roughly flat as function of WIMP mass
    - Doubling the WIMP mass reduces the annihilation rate by a factor of four  $(\Gamma_A \sim (\rho/m)^2)$
    - Neutrino cross section increases linear with neutrino energy
    - Muon range increases with neutrino energy
      - Can be exploited for ANTARES and Baikal for Galactic centre analysis
  - Backgrounds decrease with energy
    - Atmospheric neutrinos and Atmospheric Neutrinos
      - Energy dependence critical to improve bounds towards higher WIMP masses
        - Dependence on neutrino spectrum / annihilation channel

# Combined analysis

- Combined Galactic center analysis ?
  - Gain from the different sensitivity ranges on Northern and Southern hemisphere
- Small working group formed
  - ANTARES, IceCube, and Baikal
- A wikipage has been prepared in order to gather information related to the analysis and that we have held some phone calls
- Planned datasets to be used:
  - ANTARES scrambled data for 2007-2012
  - Initially IceCube IC79 scrambled data ... and more years soon



Carsten Rott



## Solar WIMPs





## Solar WIMP Signal



# Neutrino Spectra (Sun)

- WIMPSim
  - Pythia
  - http://copsosx03.fysik.su.se/ wimpsim/





Baikal

ANTARES

- PPPC 4DMv
  - Pietro Baratella, Marco Cirelli, et al. ... arXiv:1312.6408v2
  - Pythia and GEANT4

 $v_e, M_{\rm DM} = 1000~{\rm GeV}$ 





Carsten Rott

### How to get neutrino spectra



PPPC 4DMv





### 3yrs IceCube Solar WIMP Analysis

- Three years of data in 86-string configuration used (May 2011 - May 2014)
  - Only up-going events (Sun below the horizon) results in 532days of livetime
- Two independent analysis performed
  - ① IceCube: Higher energy focus ( $m_{\chi} > 100 \text{GeV}$ )
  - ② **DeepCore**: Low-energy focus ( $m_{\chi} = 30 \text{GeV} 100 \text{GeV}$ )

Median angular resolutions

- Up-going
- IceCube Dominated
- No Containment

- Up-going
  - DeepCore Dominated
- Strong Containment



### **Effective Areas**



### 3yrs IceCube Solar WIMP Analysis



# update from IceCubeColl., PoS(ICRC2015) 1099



### pMSSM model scans

• Hard / Soft defined by fraction of hard and soft final states

No evidence for dark matter

## Solar WIMPs Summary



Spin-dependent scattering





Carsten Rott 💦

## **Baikal Neutrino Line Search**

Search for neutrino emission from relic dark matter in the Sun with the Baikal NT200 detector

Baikal Collaboration (A.D. Avrorin (Moscow, INR) et al.). May 14, 2014. 9 pp. Published in Astropart.Phys. 62 (2015) 12-20

DOI: 10.1016/j.astropartphys.2014.07.006

e-Print: arXiv:1405.3551 [astro-ph.HE] | PDF

#### Spin-dependent scattering



- 2.76 years live time with the Baikal neutrino telescope NT200
  - Including bounds for annihilation directly into neutrinos

ANTARES Coll. JCAP 1605 (2016) no.05, 016

### **ANTARES Secluded Dark Matter**





ANTARES Coll. JCAP 1605 (2016) no.05, 016

### **ANTARES Secluded Dark Matter**



Carsten Rott

### A general word on how (not) to recast indirect detection limits

JCAP 04 (2016) 022 / <u>http://arxiv.org/pdf/1601.00653.pdf</u>

- Indirect limits always presented in terms of hard process final states
- Actual experiments do not measure those final states they detect one type of SM particle produced later: γs, νs, etc
- Limits as presented cannot be combined and applied to models with mixed final states (= all non-toy models)
- extra complications with neutrinos from capture-annihilation balance
- Proper treatment of indirect detection for BSM searches requires full phenomenological recast abilities
  - → full experimental and theoretical treatment at the same time

mperia Coleg

າງດີ

ondo

 $\equiv$ 

《曰》《聞》《臣》《臣》

Actually not so dissimilar to LHC in this respect...

### Neutrino telescope likelihoods: nulike

JCAP 04 (2016) 022 / <u>http://arxiv.org/pdf/1601.00653.pdf</u>

Unbinned  $\nu$  telescope likelihood  $\implies$  full event-level angular and energy info

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{unbin}} \equiv \mathcal{L}_{\text{num}}(n_{\text{tot}}|\theta_{\text{tot}}) \prod_{i=1}^{n_{\text{tot}}} (f_{\text{S}}\mathcal{L}_{\text{S},i} + f_{\text{BG}}\mathcal{L}_{\text{BG},i})$$

Strategy: precompute partial likelihoods for each event, then reweight with the  $\nu$  spectrum at Earth for each model

- precompute step uses nusigma with CTEQ6-DIS PDFs to get charged current v – n and v – p cross-sections as function of x and y
- like step input: neutrino spectrum at Earth (from DarkSUSY or whatever else you want to use)
- like step output: num predicted events, likelihood
- fully model-independent = future-proof for global fits





### IceCube IC79 Solar WIMP Analysis

#### PRL 110, 131302 (2013)

- IceCube 79-strings configuration (partially completed DeepCore)
  - 318 days (May 2010 May 2011)
- Search for an excess of events from the direction of the Sun
  - use track events for better pointing
- Separate summer and winter analysis
  - use outer detector to veto down-going muons for summer analysis

#### Spin-dependent scattering



#### **Observed** events



Carsten Rott 🦲

og10 (σ<sub>Sip</sub> / cm²)

### Improved Solar WIMP Bounds

http://nulike.hepforge.org./



Carsten Rott 🦲

# The bigger picture

• Data released for nulike can also easily be digested for

GAMBIT

Likelihood methods

Results

Data format and prospects for co-ordination

#### GAMBIT: The Global And Modular BSM Inference Tool

#### gambit.hepforge.org

- Fast definition of new datasets and theoretical models
- Plug and play scanning, physics and likelihood packages
- Extensive model database not just SUSY
- Extensive observable/data libraries
- ATLAS A. Buckley, P. Jackson, C. Rogan, M. White, LHCb M. Chrząszcz, N. Serra Belle-II F. Bernlochner, P. Jackson Fermi-LAT J. Conrad, J. Edsjö, G. Martinez, P. Scott CTA C. Balázs, T. Bringmann, J. Conrad, M. White HESS J. Conrad IceCube J. Edsjö, P. Scott XENON/DARWIN J. Conrad, R. Trotta Theory P. Athron, C. Balázs, T. Bringmann, J. Cornell, J. Edsjö, B. Farmer, T. Gonzalo, A. Fowlie, S. Hoof, F. Kahlhoefer, A. Krislock, A. Kvellestad, M. Pato, F. Mahmoudi, J. McKay, A. Raklev, R. Ruiz, P. Scott, R. Trotta, C. Weniger, M. White, S. Wild

- Many statistical and scanning options (Bayesian & frequentist)
- Fast LHC likelihood calculator
- Massively parallel
- Fully open-source







24

୬୧୯

Pat Scott – July 29 – BSMND 2016, Seoul

Data release, public likelihoods and recasting

《口》《聞》《臣》《臣》



### Impact of velocity distribution

 Explore the change in capture rate using different velocity distributions obtained from dark matter simulations



• A comparison of captures rates for different WIMP velocity distributions show that overall changes in the capture rate are smaller than 20%

### Impact of astrophysical uncertainties



- Limits need to be updated
- Include direct detection bounds

### Impact of astrophysical uncertainties



- Limits need to be updated
- Include direct detection bounds

## Local Dark Matter Density



#### Local Dark Matter Density Determinations

- R. Catena, P. Ullio, A novel determination of the local dark matter density, JCAP 1008 (2010) 004.

- P. J. McMillan, Mass models of the Milky Way,

Mon.Not.Roy.Astron.Soc. 414 (2011) 2446-2457.

P. Salucci, F. Nesti, G. Gentile, C. Martins, The dark matter density at the Sun's location, Astron. Astrophys. 523 (2010) A83.
F. Nesti, P. Salucci, The Dark Matter halo of the Milky Way, AD 2013, JCAP 1307 (2013) 016.

#### Local dark matter density closer to around 0.4GeV/cm<sup>3</sup>

On the horizon: With ESA's Gaia satellite (Perryman et al. 2001) we will soon have access to proper motions and parallaxes for a billion stars.





# Flux Conversion

- Muon flux Conversion
  - M. Kamionkowski et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 74 (1995) 5174
  - Wikstrom & Edsjo, JCAP04 (2009) 09. arXiv 0903.2986
- Neutrino flux Conversion
  - DarkSUSY [P. Gondolo et al., JCAP, 0407, 008 (2004)]
  - C.Rott, T.Tanaka, Y. Itow JCAP09(2011)029)
     based on a study using DarkSUSY version 5.0.4

Recent inconsistency in DarkSUSY online version and WIMPSim resulted in inconsistent bounds (now resolved)





# Flux Conversion

#### Muon flux Conversion

- M. Kamionkowski et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 74 (1995) 5174
- Wikstrom & Edsjo, JCAP04 (2009) 09. arXiv 0903.2986

#### Neutrino flux Conversion

- DarkSUSY [P. Gondolo et al., JCAP, 0407, 008 (2004)]
- C.Rott, T.Tanaka, Y. Itow JCAP09(2011)029)
   based on a study using DarkSUSY version 5.0.4
  - Integrated neutrino flux above an energy threshold (here IGeV) look very similar
  - Neutrino flux limits allow for easier comparison of different flavor channels

Recent inconsistency in DarkSUSY online version and WIMPSim resulted in inconsistent bounds (now resolved)







## Earth WIMPs





# IceCube Earth WIMPs

- Dark Matter could be captured in the Earth and produce a vertically up-going excess neutrino flux
- IceCube:Two statistically independent analyses
  - Low energy & High energy
  - IC86-I (327 days of livetime during 2011/12)



## Earth WIMPs

Combine High-energy and low-energy analysis, based on the best sensitivity



Publications:

- Super-K S.Desai et al (2004)
- IceCube arXiv:1609.01492
- ANTARES forthcoming

Earth WIMPs

PhD thesis: J.Kunnen (VUB Oct.2015)



• Earth WIMP analysis more sensitivity than Solar WIMP analysis for SI scattering for  $m_{\gamma}$  close to Fe resonance

 Standard halo model was assumed. Possibility of dark disk could boost Earth WIMP bounds by two orders of magnitude Publications:

- Super-K S.Desai et al (2004)
- IceCube arXiv:1609.01492
- ANTARES forthcoming

## Earth WIMPs



• Earth WIMP analysis more sensitivity than Solar WIMP analysis for SI scattering for  $m_{\chi}$  close to Fe resonance

 Standard halo model was assumed. Possibility of dark disk could boost Earth WIMP bounds by two orders of magnitude

## Earth WIMPs

- Issues:
  - Earth is not in equilibrium
    - Strong dependence on velocity distribution
  - What benchmark channels to use ?
  - How to compare SD and SI ?





### Astro-physical Neutrinos / Heavy Dark Matter / Boosted Dark Matter / ...



# High energy neutrinos

### IceCube

ANTARES



#### ~7 sigma rejection of atmospheric-only hypothesis

<text>

ICRC 2015 proceedings IceCube Collaboration, *Science 342, 1242856 (2013)*, IceCube Collaboration, *Phys. Rev. Lett 113, 101101 (2014)*  Observed : 19 Expected :  $13.5 \pm 3$  from bkg

**ANTARES Neutrino 2016** 

# Heavy Dark Matter Decay

- Heavy Decaying Dark Matter (example χ→νh, χ→νx, χ→νγ, χ→νee)
- Focus on most detectable feature (neutrino line)
- Backgrounds steeply falling with energy, highest energy events provide best sensitivity
- Continuum and spacial distribution could help identify a signal
- Bounds from Fermi-LAT and PAMELA derived from search for bb annihilation channel (dominant decay channel of Higgs).

### Bound on lifetime ~10<sup>28</sup>s derived with IceCube data



# Heavy Dark Matter Decay

- Heavy Decaying Dark Matter (example  $\chi \rightarrow \nu h$ )
- Focus on most detectable feature (neutrino line)
- Backgrounds steeply falling with energy, highest energy events provide best sensitivity
- Continuum and spacial distribution could help identify a signal
- Bounds from Fermi-LAT and H.E.S.S from Galactic Centre line search analysis

Bound on lifetime ~10<sup>28</sup>s derived with IceCube data



# **Boosted Dark Matter**

 $10^{8}$ 

 $10^{7}$ 

 $10^{6}$ 

10<sup>5</sup>

 $10^{4}$ 

 $dL/dlog_{10}t$  [arb. units]

prompt shower

> muon decay

echo

neutron

capture echo

 $10^{-3}$ 

- "IceCube Boosted Dark Matter Search"
  - Following search proposed by Kopp, Liu, Wan (2015)
  - using "Echo Technique" Li, Bustamante, Beacom (2016)



May sound crazy, but is just an example for exotic interactions in IceCube detectable via recoil

### Beyond Standard Model Physics at the PeV scale



Carsten Rott

- Intense interest in high-energy neutrino region
  - Observations defy any simple explanation from a single generic source class
    - Multiple sources classes ?
    - Hints of new physics ?
      - PeV Scale Right Handed Neutrino Dark Matter
      - Super Heavy Dark Matter
      - Neutrino Portal Dark Matter
      - Right-handed neutrino mixing via Higgs portal
      - Heavy right-handed neutrino dark matter
      - Leptophilic Dark Matter
      - PeV Scale Supersymmetric Neutrino Sector Dark Matter
      - Dark matter with two- and many-body decays
      - Shadow dark matter
      - Boosted Dark Matter
      - ..

### Beyond Standard Model Physics at the PeV scale



### Solar WIMP perspective with ORCA/PINGU



Excellent sensitivity to dark matter below 50GeV

## Conclusions

- Striking WIMP signatures provide high discovery potential for indirect searches
- Models motivated by positron excess and gamma-ray observations can and have been tested by IceCube and ANTARES
- Neutrino Telescopes provide world best limits on SD WIMP-Proton scattering cross section
- Neutrinos extremely sensitive to test low-mass
   WIMP scenarios at current and future detectors
- Potential to strengthen competitiveness of neutrino bounds with combined analyses





# Solar WIMPs Hyper-K



Carsten Rott