# Optimizing for an Interferometric Trigger

A. Vieregg

From work with K. Bechtol, A. Romero-Wolf Also from a two deployments to Greenland with: C. Miki, J. Kovac, D. Saltzberg, C. Deaconu, R. Nichol, S. Wissel

### How Do You Push Down to 1 PeV with Radio?

- 1) Be as close as you can be to events (so signals appear strong at the detector)
  - $\rightarrow$  Directly embed antennas in ice
- 2) Need radio-clear ice to see far away (~1 km attenuation length)
- 3) Need to achieve the highest signal to noise in the detector as possible to see small signals
  - → Need extremely high effective gain antenna
- Problem: high gain broadband antennas don't fit down holes, and extremely high gain antennas are hard to make
- Answer: a phased array of lowgain antennas



# A Phased Array for PeV and UHE Neutrinos

- Beamforming: for a given incident direction, calculate the system delay required between antennas to see the signal in-phase in all the antennas
- The signal is correlated between antennas and noise is uncorrelated: increase the SNR as sqrt(N)
- Create many beams at once to cover the solid angle of interest
- Analog or digital



# **Example: 16 Antenna Station**



- 16 antennas in one hole and closely packed @ 200 MHz
- Only need ~10 beams to cover the solid angle of interest (horizon down to -50°)
- deployed 8-antenna phased array prototype in June 2015 to Greenland



## Benefits of a Closely Packed Trigger Array

- Closely packed means bigger beams (i.e. the number of bins in your interferometric map is smaller)
- That means the "trials factor" is smaller for noise to fluctuate up to pass the trigger
- Physically small means the vertex distance from which an event appears plane-wavey is much closer (so interferometry, which assumes planewaveyness, works better)
- Note: Of course pointing resolution sucks (on purpose). Co-located "pointing array"?

# Another Benefit of a Beamformed Trigger

• Rejection of man-made noise. Just don't look where it comes from! (a la ANITA's phi masking)

#### Acceptance Comparison for 10 Stations @ Summit



- Stations act independently and are far apart
- Increase is x10 at low energies and x3 at high energies simply from phasing (yellow → orange)
- Phasing 400 antennas provides good energy overlap with IceCube above 1PeV

### Try Testing Different Models in the Simulation





## Site Exploration Summit Station Greenland

- Most ice volume of any reasonable site
  - 3 km thick ice at Summit Station, water layer at bottom (reflections add to effective volume)
- Sunlight 10 months/year  $\rightarrow$  solar power
- Relatively quick to get to (direct flight from New York)
- Sees Northern Sky
- Year-round, NSF-Operated
- Access: C-130 flights, annual overland traverse, long summer season
- Plans for a new station called "Isi," construction begins 2015





### Summit Station Site Characterization June 2013







- Measured the attenuation length of the ice at 100-1000 MHz
- Measured firn properties (100m depth vs. 200m at South Pole)
- ~1 km attenuation length at 300
  MHz, slightly less than South Pole

#### Deployment of an 8-Channel Phased Array in June 2015



# The Test Site at the DISC Borehole



- Took Noise Data (triggering on beams and antennas to compare)
- Took pulser data with pulser on the surface (efficiency scans for beam vs. antenna trigger)

# Some More Pictures



# Thinking Broadly

- A compact interferometric trigger array for ARA?
- Is many stations with a small number of antennas the way to go, given the new scientific landscape (PeV neutrinos)?
- The trigger scheme, the antenna geometry choice, and the analysis choices are coupled