





Frank McNally IPA 2015

## Outline

- Detector Overview
- Large and Small-Scale Structure
- Energy Transition
  - Energy transition in IceCube
  - IceCube / IceTop overlap
- Other Studies
  - Solar dipole
  - Time-dependence
- Theory
- Summary

## IceCube

- Neutrino detector deep in Antarctic ice
- I km<sup>3</sup> of instrumented volume
- ▶ 1.5 2.5 km under the surface
- 86 strings
- 60 Digital Optical Modules (DOMs) per string
- ~125 m spacing





Frank McNally -- UW-Madison

## Cosmic Rays in IceCube



- Designed to detect up-going neutrinos
- Sensitive to down-going muons produced by cosmic ray showers
- Cosmic-ray primaries up to knee
- Rate: ~ 2 kHz (172 million events/day!)
- Limited event information stored in data storage & transfer (DST) format
  - Basic directional fit
  - Number of DOMs hit



## ІсеТор

 Air shower array on top of IceCube

#### 81 stations

- Two tanks per station
- Two DOMs per tank
- Close to shower maximum





## Cosmic Rays in IceTop

- Sensitive to electromagnetic component of down-going showers
- Cosmic ray primary energies knee to ankle
- ► Rate: ~20 Hz
- Retains more information per event
  - potentially better energy and angular resolution



Frank McNally -- UW-Madison

## Outline

- Detector Overview
- Large and Small-Scale Structure
- Energy Transition
  - Energy transition in IceCube
  - IceCube / IceTop overlap
- Other Studies
  - Solar dipole
  - Time-dependence
- Theory
- Summary

#### Dataset Size

Configuration	Number of Events
IC59	3.579 x 10 <sup>10</sup>
IC79	4.131 x 10 <sup>10</sup>
IC86	5.906 x 10 <sup>10</sup>
IC86-II	5.630 x 10 <sup>10</sup>
IC86-III	6.166 x 10 <sup>10</sup>
Total	2.541 × 10 <sup>11</sup>

Configuration	Number of Events (STA8)	
IT59	2.887 x 10 <sup>7</sup>	
IT73	3.690 x 10 <sup>7</sup>	
IT8I	3.796 x 10 <sup>7</sup>	
IT81-II	3.713 × 10 <sup>7</sup>	
IT81-III	3.096 × 10 <sup>7</sup>	
Total	1.718 × 10 <sup>8</sup>	

Frank McNally -- UW-Madison

## Anisotropy in IceCube

#### 5 years of data (IC59 – IC86-III)

#### 2.5 x 10<sup>11</sup> events



- Relative intensity (left) and pre-trial statistical significance (right)
- Before (top) and after (bottom) dipole- and quadrupole-subtraction
- Angular smoothing radius of 5°

### Advantage of New Dataset

- Finer structure visible at higher significance
- Example:
  - IC59 (top) with
    20° smoothing radius
    vs.
  - IC59—IC86-III (bottom) with 5° smoothing radius
- Note: structure in new map visible in IC59 map at lower significance





IceCube Preliminary



• With best-fit dipole and quadrupole moments subtracted (red).

> Dark/light-gray bands represent isotropic flux at the 68% and 90% C.Ls.

## Outline

- Detector Overview
- Large and Small-Scale Structure
- Energy Transition
  - Energy transition in IceCube
  - IceCube / IceTop overlap
- Other Studies
  - Solar dipole
  - Time-dependence
- Theory
- Summary

### **Energy Separation**



- Bin simulation in N<sub>channel</sub> and reconstructed zenith
- Split into energy bands based on median true energy of cosmic-ray primaries
- Result: bins that overlap but rise in median energy

13



Frank McNally -- UW-Madison



Frank McNally -- UW-Madison



Frank McNally -- UW-Madison









Frank McNally -- UW-Madison



Frank McNally -- UW-Madison





#### Dipole Amplitude and Phase



- Harmonic analysis of I-D projection in right ascension
- Amplitude and phase for dipole component shown
- IceCube (blue) and IceTop (red) data

Frank McNally -- UW-Madison

IceCube Preliminary

## Outline

- Detector Overview
- Large and Small-Scale Structure
- Energy Transition
  - Energy transition in IceCube
  - IceCube / IceTop overlap
- Other Studies
  - Solar dipole
  - Time-dependence
- Theory
- Summary



- One-dimensional projection of relative intensity in right ascension
- Sidereal projection not well fit by dipole (or any low-multipole fit)
- Predicted dipole visible in solar time (left)

Solar Dipole

• Systematic errors estimated from the anti- and extended-sidereal frames (right)

## Time Stability

#### Qualitative:

- ID right ascension for each year of data
- Systematic error bars calculated from antisidereal frame



#### Quantitative:

 Calculate chi-squared by comparing each year to the collected sample

Config	Counts	χ <sup>2</sup>	Ndof	p-value
IC59	3.58 x 10 <sup>10</sup>	20.52	23	0.61
IC79	4.13 x 10 <sup>10</sup>	16.07	23	0.85
IC86	5.91 x 10 <sup>10</sup>	9.	23	0.69
IC86-II	5.63 x 10 <sup>10</sup>	13.88	23	0.93
IC86-III	6.21 x 10 <sup>10</sup>	27.59	23	0.23

Frank McNally -- UW-Madison



## Outline

- Detector Overview
- Large and Small-Scale Structure
- Energy Transition
  - Energy transition in IceCube
  - IceCube / IceTop overlap

#### Other Studies

- Solar dipole
- Time-dependence
- Theory
- Summary

## Anisotropy in Theory

- Large-scale anisotropy could be indicative of nearby cosmic ray sources
  - Cosmic rays accelerated at source e.g. shock of a supernova remnant (SNR)
  - Transport of TeV PeV cosmic rays in the Galactic magnetic field is diffusive.
  - Flux observed on Earth as a dipole with its maximum towards the source(s). Erlykin & Wolfendale, Astropart. Phys. 25 (2006) 183 Blasi & Amato, JCAP 1201 (2012) 11
  - But the dipole direction does not coincide with direction of sources

Mertsch & Funk, Phys. Rev. Lett. 114 (2015) 021101

• Small-scale anisotropy could be caused by cosmic ray propagation in turbulent magnetic fields within a few tens of parsecs from Earth.

Giacinti & Sigl, PhysRevLett. 109, 071101 (2012) Ahlers, PhysRevLett. 112, 021101 (2014)

Both models predict a dependence of the anisotropy on energy...

#### Summary

- With over 250 billion cosmic ray events, IceCube can study anisotropies in the cosmic ray arrival direction distribution in the southern hemisphere at less than the part-per-mille level.
- IceCube has found both large and small-scale anisotropies in cosmic ray arrival directions at a median energy of 20 TeV.
- At higher energies, IceCube and IceTop data show significant anisotropy that is substantially different from the anisotropy at 20 TeV, with IceCube data indicating the transition occurs around 100 TeV.
- In the near future, we hope to use the superior energy resolution of IceTop to learn more about the location of Galactic cosmic ray sources, diffusion, Galactic magnetic fields, and other related topics.



## Table of Contents

- Detector Overview
- Large and Small-Scale Structure
- Energy Transition
  - <u>Energy transition in</u> <u>IceCube</u>
  - IceCube / IceTop overlap
- Other Studies
  - Solar dipole
  - Time-dependence
- ► <u>Theory</u>
- Summary

### Backup Slides



## Polar Maps

 Relative intensity (left) and significance (right) for large (top) and small-scale (bottom) structure



## Looking at Anisotropy – Producing Maps

- Store local arrival coordinates:  $\theta$ ,  $\phi$ , t
- Convert to equatorial coordinates:  $\alpha$ ,
- Store in background map with <sup>1</sup>/<sub>20</sub> weight
- - ►  $\Delta t = 4h \rightarrow 15^{\circ} \times 4 \rightarrow structures$ larger than 60° cannot be seen
  - IceTop capable of 24h time scrambling



# Looking for Signal

- Build background map out of data
- Apply smoothing



24h

Data

0h

0h

70748

70420.3

0.0

Frank McNally -- UW-Madison







- dipole anisotropy (Compton-Getting Effect) is distorted by observation: cannot point
- recover the horizontal component of the dipole (and multipole components)

38

#### Solar Dipole



Frank McNally -- UW-Madison

IceCube Preliminary

39