# Phased Arrays for Radio Detection of UHE Neutrinos arXiv:1504.08006

Keith Bechtol w/ Abigail Vieregg and Andres Romero-Wolf IPA 5 May 2015



### **UHE** Neutrinos



#### UHECRs w/ E > $10^{20} \text{ eV}$



#### T = 2.7 K CMB



"Guaranteed" production of UHE neutrinos w/ E > 10<sup>18</sup> eV + prompt emission at sources

See plenary talk from Abigail Vieregg





Waiting for a broadband (100 to 1200 MHz) impulsive (few ns) wavefront to cross the detector





Waiting for a broadband (100 to 1200 MHz) impulsive (few ns) wavefront to cross the detector





Waiting for a broadband (100 to 1200 MHz) impulsive (few ns) wavefront to cross the detector

Romero-Wolf et al. 2014



Trigger threshold is set by rate that data can be acquired Most triggered events are uncorrelated thermal noise background



#### **Coherence Map**

Can **beam-forming in hardware** achieve a lower (more sensitive) trigger threshold relative to simple coincidence trigger





#### **16 antenna phased array example** Co-located but distinct "pointing" and "trigger" arrays







#### 16 antenna phased array example Co-located but distinct "pointing" and "trigger" arrays 30m 30m **Ice Surface** Construct an effective high-gain antenna by phasing multiple low-gain antennas $G_{eff} = 10 \log_{10} (N \times 10^{G/10})$ 30m Pointing Array Trigger (Both Polarizations) Array Ĵ0.5m (Vertical Polarization) 30m

## Phased Array Concept



Triggering on beams rather than waveforms from individual antennas



## Phased Array Concept



Compact trigger array results in wide beams Can attain good zenithal coverage with small number of trigger channels



Beam pattern for one trigger channel 200 MHz (16 antenna example)

## Simulations



#### **Consider 10 stations in Greenland as concrete example**

For widely spaced stations, acceptance scales linearly with number of stations

#### **Station Configurations**

- 1. 16 antennas unphased (E-field threshold =  $0.15 \text{ mV} \text{ m}^{-1}$ , 100 to 800 MHz)
- 2. 16 antennas phased (lower by factor 4)
- 3. 400 antennas phased (lower by factor 20)

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Volumetric} \\ \text{Acceptance} \\ \text{Areal} \\ \text{Acceptance} \end{array} & V\Omega = \frac{4\pi V_{\text{sim}}}{N} \times \sum_{i} \left( p_{\text{Earth},i} \times p_{\text{detect},i} \times \frac{\rho_{i}}{\rho_{\text{water}}} \right) \end{array}$$

See appendix of arXiv:1504.08006 for details

## Event Geometry Cartoon

Radio antenna

station



~100 m deep <u>firn</u> layer (ray bending)

Incoming neutrino

Cone of coherent radio emission strongest at angles ~56 deg Polarized in radial direction

> ~3 km solid ice (rays travel ~ straight)

**Reflections off bottom** 

## Askaryan Emission





Use simple analytic parametrization of Askaryan emission from Lehtinen et al. 2004

# Ray-tracing Library





# Ray-tracing Library





### **Distance to Interaction Vertex**



#### Triggered events in three station configurations



1 PeV

1000 PeV

## **Observation Angle**



#### Triggered events in three station configurations



1 PeV

1000 PeV

## Primary Neutrino Zenith Angle



#### Triggered events in three station configurations



1 PeV

1000 PeV

## Volumetric Acceptance





Acceptance for radio arrays at trigger level, IceCube acceptance at analysis level

# Model Comparison





# Model Comparison





| Station Configuration | Power Law | Power Law   | Optimistic | Pessimistic |
|-----------------------|-----------|-------------|------------|-------------|
|                       |           | with Cutoff | Cosmogenic | Cosmogenic  |
| 16-antenna            | 0.9       | 0.0         | 7.7        | 2.3         |
| 16-antenna, phased    | 3.8       | 0.1         | 19.6       | 6.0         |
| 400-antenna, phased   | 18.4      | 2.2         | 52.9       | 15.6        |

















## Key Points and Questions



#### **Key Points**

- Trigger and pointing arrays can be de-coupled (less sensitive to wavefront curvature, ice effects, etc.)
- Radio technique could potentially reach the PeV scale if a sufficient number of antennas are phased together
- Increase event rate over all energies with relatively modest hardware modifications (scalability of radio technique, energy calibration with optical Cherenkov techniques)

#### Questions

- Reconstructing events with lower signal-to-noise per antenna?
- When phasing more antennas, how would beams be distributed? More extensive hardware modifications?