#### **Cascade Reconstruction**

In IceCube



Claudio Kopper - MANTS 2013 - Garching

### **The Problem**

Where do they point and what is their energy?



### **The Problem**

#### Shower directions are visible in timing profile!



#### **Event Reconstruction**

Compare data to MC predictions in bins in time and space





#### **Some Notes**

#### IceCube reads out waveforms

• they help in cascade directional reconstruction given the scattering in ice

#### Use full depth-dependent ice model

- based on fits to LED flasher data
- fully spline-interpolated data

### **Shower Energy Resolution**

For MC true vertex and direction and no event selection



# **Shower Energy Resolution** For HESE analysis cuts with reconstructed vertices and directions



# **Shower Angular Resolution**

For HESE analysis cuts with reconstructed vertices and directions



### **Reconstruction Systematics**

Statistical uncertainties in angular reconstruction for showers is small. Dominated by ice systematics!



### **Systematics Example: Bert**

Scan the likelihood space in direction to make sure we find the correct minimum and to get (statistical) uncertainty



Charge (pe)	
72907.6	

reduced logi	1.14		
Energy (TeV)	1069.6		
Zenith (deg)	62.0		





### **Re-Simulation**

How to determine systematics given the uncertainties in the ice model fit

#### Re-simulation scheme:

- Sample from reconstruction skymap and energy
- Sample from "ice-model space" (uncertainties including layer-to-layer uncertainties, tilt and anisotropy)
- Simulate each event using full propagationReconstruct
- Compare to MC truth for each event and plot differences



#### **Partially Contained Showers**

What if the shower is not fully contained?

#### Can be studied on data:

- remove DOMs from the detector and compare original reconstruction to reconstruction with reduced set of DOMs
- When does the reconstruction break down?
  - studied at by a student in Madison

#### The Exclusions









X [m]

#### The Bert Event

Exclusion #	Charge Included	Charge Excluded	# of DOMs included	Vertex Error (m)	Energy Error	Angular Error (deg)
Total Event	N/A	N/A	464	N/A	N/A	N/A
1 st	0.1592	0,8408	246	2,9192	-0,0204	12.8
2 <sup>nd</sup>	0,9659	0.0341	339	4.0670	-0,1031	16.3
3rd	0,9605	0.0395	339	1,3333	-0,1095	10.1
4 <sup>th</sup>	0,0093	0,9907	144	37,5556	-0,6325	57.4
5 <sup>th</sup>	0,1680	0.8420	225	6.3629	-0,0613	22.8
6 <sup>th</sup>	0,9454	0,0546	285	2,6350	-0,1380	19.3
7th	0,0089	0,9912	129	35,5652	-0,6202	70,4
8 <sup>th</sup>	0,0093	0,9907	141	39,5324	-0,5719	45.4
9th	0,1422	0,8578	237	1,9788	-0,0534	18.7

#### **Partially Contained Showers**

What if the shower is not fully contained?

# Even after excluding about 85% of the total charge, the even is still reconstructable!

• this in general depends on which parts of the event are excluded and how much charge is left

## Absolute Energy Scale

Select minimum ionizing muons to test to a known process



#### Then use calibration laser with different ND filter settings to check DOM linearity:



### Summary

- Shower reconstruction in IceCube works great!
- We achieve about 15deg angular resolution and 10-15% energy resolution at the "HESE" energies
  - dominated by ice systematics (especially in directional resolution!)