



DECACUBE – ICECUBE++ - PART II

A large optical extension of IceCube for future neutrino-astronomy in the TeV-PeV range on the DecaCube-scale

David Altmann[1] and Christopher Wiebusch[2]

1. Estimates for in ice veto
2. Ideas for an Air-Cherenkov surface veto

2 RWTH AACHEN IceCube

IceCube

Baseline



- We are observing a weak astrophysical flux with IceCube below the threshold of new technologies (Radio & Acoustics)
- Add 100 optical strings (invest 80 M€, 5 years installation)
- Free design parameter: string spacing: 120m->360m
- Results for Tracks
  - Effective area increases about with linearly with spacing
  - Energy threshold scales moderately with spacing (2TeV (120m), 10TeV (240m), 50 TeV (360m))
    $\Rightarrow$ galactic sources still in reach !
- Results for Cascades
  - Effective Volume for Cascades increases quadratically with spacing according to increase of geometrical volume
- How about Veto and starting track capabilities?
  We have learned, these capabilities are crucial for a next generation instrument which wants to detect the sources!

Symmetrical geometry (spacing 240m)





- +100 strings
- 4-3 layers of outer strings around IceCube
- ~7 km^3 volume

240m results:

- Muons: 3x IC3
- Cascades : 7x IC3
- $E_{thresh} \leq 10$ TeV

Starting events estimation (A)



HESE volume
0.4 Gton





Outer strings improve veto :

- ✓ 3 outer layers more than sufficient (Result from DeepCore)
- ➢ Bottom veto is obsolete
- ➢ Dustlayer veto is obsolete
- ➢ Full IceCube volume can be used to the side - edges
- ➢ + 1 outer ring if 4 layers

Unidirectional DOMS & High QE PMT
-> better Veto !

Config	eff. Vol. Gton	#events >30TeV /a
HESE	0.4	**14/a**
Full IC3	0.9	**31/a**
+ 1 Ring	1.4-1.8	**49-63 /a**

DecaCube strongly improves starting event capabilities

Starting events estimation (B)



Assume:

- One outer layer veto
  (but thicker Top Veto)
- atm. μ veto threshold increases with spacing
- No unidirectional DOMS & High QE PMT



➢ HESE volume can be extended if threshold is raised by factor ~2

Note that atmospheric neutrino BG (prompt/conventional) decrease

DecaCube will yield a factor 10 increased Ernie&Bert sample

Config	Volume Gton	#events >60TeV /a	#events >200 TeV /a	#events > 1PeV /a
HESE	0.4	8	3	1
+ 1 outer veto ring	3-5	**60-100**	**22-37**	**7-12**

Ideal world: Surface veto



Extended IceTop (of course)
not possible to the most interesting horizontal regions

➢ Exploit (non-)imaging air cherenkov technique

- New telescope concept FAMOUS for FD detection
  - Based on SiPM 30-40% uptime (demonstrated by FACT)
  - imaging strongly helps in NSB background rejection (1/3000) and allows a lower threshold (critical for inclined directions)
  - VHE gamma astronomy
  - Acceptance similar to non-imaging
- Price $\propto$ # pixel $\propto$1/ (FOV/pixel)
- E_{thresh} ~ 50 TeV (p) $\propto$ $(FOV)^{1/2}$



FAMOUS



FOV limited by maximum SiPmt size

7 Pixel Prototype



- **Camera pixel** = Winston cone + 6x6 mm² SiPM
- 1.5 ° field of view per pixel
- 12 ° field of view in total





Niggemann et al ICRC 2013, 0014

Designed for Flourescence Detection in Auger … should work for Air Cherenkov, too

Duty Cycle of SiPM cameras: Demonstration by FACT



- Very stable operation (~5%) also during full moon demonstrated
- SouthPole: 40%/year achievable ? (needs in.situ tests)
- SiPM cannot be damaged by bright light (PMT need protection)
- No High voltage needed





Showers recorded during observation of the full moon



See Knoetig et al (ICRC 2013 – 695) and Bretz et al (ICRC 2013 - 682)

First light with the FAMOUS-7 prototype

Auger Students, in the background: University hospital RWTH

FAMOUS Energy threshold









Energy threshold : Opening window ∅55cm-> 0.25m² (Hiscore:1m²)

FACT = 400GeV@9.5m² ⇒ 15 TeV (γ)

Factor 2 for hadrons: ⇒ 30TeV

Factor 2-4 for horizontal hadrons ⇒ 60-120 TeV

- Sub threshold data possible, when triggered by InIce
- Entrance window can be enlarged

Niggemann et al ICRC 2013, 0014

Geometrical considerations



Cover region 40° < θ < 80°
(most interesting)

1°

r

Enlarged IceTop

8 km

40°

3 km

DecaCube

Opening radius of LDF at surface: r r
≈tan(θ_c)·10km/cos(θ)
≈ 1km/ (6·cos(θ))
40°: 220m 80°: 960m
Exponentially increasing threshold outside

- Single Circle of air cherenkov telescopes looking towards horizon, close to IceTop
- Field of view aligned towards IceCube

Cost estimate



6x6mm SiPMT FOV 1.6° per pixel-> 6.12 10^{-4} sr/pix
For a zenith region > 40°-80° = 1.18π sr =3.72 sr

⇒ **~6000 pixels**

Price per Telescope (Famous Prototype) 64 Pixel=256 channel		**total**
Pixel: 6x6 mm^2SiPm (4 chan.)	250€ x 64	16k€
Electronic (Easy Roc) 32 channel +LV	1000€ x 8	8k€
Mechanics, Lens	1200€	1.2k€
Comms + SC	1000€	1k€
Total	**400€/pixel**	26.2k€

 # Pixel ∝ 1/ FOV
FOV limited by (current) maximum SiPmt size

⇒ **2.4M€**

- Costs can be greatly reduced for mass production (10$/piece for 100k SiPM) and larger SiPM area (FOV) possible
- Compared to 100 strings (80M€), this is a small investment for a significantly improved veto @30% duty cycle
- Full sky coverage can be achieved- (factor 2 of costs)
  - Hybrid cross calibration with IceTop&IceCube , energy calibration of IceTop with fluorescence light, gamma astronomy, CR below the knee

Summary



- DecaCube with 240 m spacing is a good baseline to reach ~7km^3
- Might achieve significantly improved starting events capabilities with a factor 10 increased event rates, compared to IceCube
- A surface veto based on SiPM air cherenkov detectors in addition to a conventional IceTop extension looks promising to achieve a horizontal veto with 30-40% duty cycle and <50TeV primary threshold

More work needed:

- Full MC simulation of DecaVube
  - Redo simulations with an optimized geometry
  - Test for veto capabilities
  - Simulate surface veto

Imaging versus non-imaging



- Non imaging allows a wide field of view with much fewer channels -> lower price
- HIGHSCORE acceptance: $A \approx 1\text{sr} \cdot 0.5\text{m}^2 = 0.5\ \text{m}^2\ \text{sr}$
- FAMOUS acceptance/pixel $A \approx 6.12\ 10^{-4}\ \text{sr} \cdot 0.25\ \text{m}^2 = 1.5\ 10^{-4}\ \text{sr}$
- Night sky background (La Palma): $3.3\ 10^{12}\ (\text{m}^2\ \text{s}\ \text{sr})^{-1}$
- NSB: Highscore/Famous: $1{,}700\ 10^9\ \text{s}^{-1} / 0.5\ 10^9\ \text{s}^{-1} \approx 3000$
- Larger SiPM would allow for a compromize of smaller FOV (threshold) and # channels

- Need more investigations:
  - Energy threshold is critical for large inclinations
  - Boreal lights at the South Pole
  - Operation during moon

Question is open:

⇒ Need a dedicated MonteCarlo Study

Spacing 1 – 120m





Spacing 3: 360m



⇒ the muon is observed by a single string/layer

Spacing 3: 360m



⇒ Even for large spacing the threshold will be of the order of a few 10 TeV (energy loss increases linearly)

Spacing 2


Type: NuMuBar
E(GeV): 4.42e+07
Zen: 78.72 deg
Azi: 141.19 deg
NTrack: 11/11 shown, min E(GeV) == 5.45
NCasc: 100/4250 shown, min E(GeV) == 1.30
~ 40 PeV
⇒ In DecaCube-2 the muon is observed by several strings/layer

Improvement Factor w.r. IceCube-86





- geo-1 is worse than 2:1
- threshold 10 TeV-50TeV
- gain in A_{eff} is linear with spacing

Cascades (new result) Improvement Factor w.r. IceCube-86





- Events contained
- Nchannel >= 15
- Nstring >= 3

Glashow resonance

- **Improvement ∝ Volume ∝ d^2**
- **Large spacing fully effective only > 30 PeV**

Go for now with option 2