#### A hadronic explanation of the lepton anomaly

Philipp Mertsch KIPAC, Stanford

CRA 2013, UW Wisconsin, Madison

27 September 2013

Stanford University



#### New AMS results



"There's no such thing as disappointing." (Sam Ting)

#### New AMS results



"The positron fraction is turning over, so it must be dark matter."

#### "It's turning over, so it must be DM."



#### <u>"It's turning over, so it must be DM."</u>



- power law spectrum with spectral index  $~\Gamma \sim 1.7$
- exponential cut-off at  $3 \,\mathrm{TeV}$
- impulsive injection  $20,000 \dots 500,000$  yr ago

"The positron fraction has substructure, so it must be dark matter."







Energy [GeV]



Energy [GeV]

# "It's either dark matter or pulsars."

# <u>Multi-messenger problem</u>





## Residuals



# "It's either dark matter or pulsars."



acceleration sites:

- polar cap
- outer gap
- slot gap

production of gamma-rays:

- synchrotron radiation
- inverse Compton scattering
- curvature radiation

## <u>Nearby pulsars</u>

for burst-like injection from point-like source, diffusion equation can be easily solved:

$$J = \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\vec{r}^2/\ell^2(E_0,E)}}{(\pi\ell^2(E_0,E))^{3/2}}Q(E_0)\left(\frac{E}{E_0}\right)^{-2} \text{ where } \ell^2(E_0,E) = 4\int_{E_0}^E \mathrm{d}E'\frac{D(E')}{b(E')}dE' + \frac{1}{2}\int_{E_0}^E \mathrm{d}E'\frac{D(E')}{b(E')}dE' + \frac{1}{2}\int_{E_$$

three-parameter-problem: total energy  $arepsilon_{
m tot}$  , spectra index  $\Gamma$ , cut-off energy $E_{
m cut}$ 



# All Galactic pulsars

for burst-like injection from point-like source, diffusion equation can be easily solved:

$$J = \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\vec{r}^2/\ell^2(E_0,E)}}{(\pi\ell^2(E_0,E))^{3/2}}Q(E_0)\left(\frac{E}{E_0}\right)^{-2} \text{ where } \ell^2(E_0,E) = 4\int_{E_0}^E \mathrm{d}E'\frac{D(E')}{b(E')}dE' + \frac{1}{2}\int_{E_0}^E \mathrm{d}E'\frac{D(E')}{b(E')}dE' + \frac{1}{2}\int_{E_$$

three-parameter-problem: total energy  $arepsilon_{
m tot}$  , spectra index  $\Gamma$ , cut-off energy $E_{
m cut}$ 





freshly accelerated particles cannot escape the PWN/SNR

the PWN/SNR modifies the spectrum of  $e^{\pm}$ :

- cooling
- shock acceleration
- turbulent acceleration

all the parameters from pulsar observation, i.e.  $\varepsilon_{\rm tot}$ ,  $\Gamma$ ,  $E_{\rm cut}$  are modified

→ need statistical model and fit parameters Malyshev, Cholis, Gelfand PRD 80 (2009) 063005

#### Anisotropies





•  $\delta \sim 10^{-4}$  down to 10° scales

#### explanations modify local magnetic field Malkov *et al.*, ApJ 721 (2010) 750 Giacinti & Sigl, PRL 109 (2012) 071101

# Secondaries from the Source?

Common belief: secondaries from propagation dominate since the grammage in the ISM is larger than in the source



 $\langle \tau_{
m src} \rangle \lesssim \tau_{
m SNR} \approx 10^{4...5} \,
m yr$  $n_{
m src} \lesssim 10 \,
m cm^{-3}$  $\Rightarrow \lambda_{
m src} \approx 0.2 \,
m g \,
m cm^{-2}$ 

 $\langle \tau_{\rm ISM} \rangle \sim \tau_{\rm esc} \approx 10^7 \, {\rm yr}$  $n_{\rm ISM} \approx 0.1 \, {\rm cm}^{-3}$  $\Rightarrow \lambda_{\rm ISM} \approx {\rm few} \, {\rm g} \, {\rm cm}^{-2}$ 

However, the secondaries from the source can have a much harder spectrum!

# <u>Secondary Origin of $e^{\pm}$ </u>

Rise in positron fraction could be due to secondary positrons produced during acceleration and accelerated along with primary electrons Blasi, PRL **103** (2009) 051105

Assuming production of galactic CR in SNRs, positron fraction can be fitted

This effect is guaranteed, only its size depends on normalisation and one free parameter that needs to be fitted from observations



Cas A in  $\gamma$  -rays from MAGIC

# DSA – Test Particle Approximation

Acceleration determined by compression ratio:

$$r = \frac{u_1}{u_2} = \frac{n_2}{n_1}, \quad \gamma = \frac{3r}{r-1}$$

Solve transport equation,

$$\begin{aligned} u\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} &= D\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1}{3}\frac{\mathrm{d}u}{\mathrm{d}x}p\frac{\partial f}{\partial p} \\ f \xrightarrow{x \to -\infty} f_{\mathrm{inj}}(p), \quad \left|\lim_{x \to \infty} f\right| \ll \infty \end{aligned}$$

Solution for x < 0:

$$f = f_{\rm inj}(p) + (f^0(p) - f_{\rm inj}(p))e^{-x \, u_1/D(p)}$$

where

$$f^{0}(p) = \gamma \int_{0}^{p} \frac{\mathrm{d}p'}{p'} \left(\frac{p'}{p}\right)^{\gamma} f_{\mathrm{inj}}(p') + Cp^{-\gamma}$$





As long as  $f_{\rm inj}(p)$  is softer than  $p^{-\gamma}$  , at high energies:  $f(x,p)\sim p^{-\gamma}$ 

# DSA with Secondaries

• Secondaries get produced with primary spectrum:

 $q_{e^{\pm}} \propto f_{\rm CR} \propto p^{-\gamma}$ 

- Only particles with  $|x| \lesssim D(p)/u~$  can be accelerated
- Bohm diffusion:  $D(p) \propto p$
- Fraction of secondaries that go into acceleration  $\propto p$
- Equilibrium spectrum

$$n_{e^{\pm}} \propto q_{e^{\pm}} \left(1 + \frac{p}{p_0}\right) \propto p^{-\gamma} + p^{-\gamma+1}$$





Rising positron fraction at source

### Diffusion of GCRs

Transport equation:



Green's function:

describes flux from one discrete, burst-like source

# <u>A Hybrid Model</u>

- homogeneous distribution for sources with distances  $\gtrsim 1\,{\rm kpc}$  or ages  $\gtrsim 10^5\,{\rm yr}$
- supplement with *known* young and nearby sources



#### A Caveat



Not only observed sources contribute!

Ahlers, Mertsch, Sarkar, PRD 80 (2009) 123017

#### **Statistical Distribution of Sources**





ages,  $f_t(t) = \text{const.}$ 

Ahlers, Mertsch, Sarkar, PRD 80 (2009) 123017









#### The Positron Fraction



#### Nuclear Secondary-to-Primary Ratios



DM and pulsars do not produce nuclei!

Nuclear secondary-to-primary ratios used for testing and calibrating propagation models



#### Nuclear Secondary-to-Primary Ratios

rise in... nuclei DM × Pulsars ×

This would be a clear indication for acceleration of secondaries! If nuclei are accelerated in the same sources as electrons and positrons, nuclear ratios *must* rise eventually



#### <u>Titanium-to-Iron Ratio</u>

PM and Sarkar, PRL **103** (2009) 081104



Titanium-to-iron ratio used as calibration point for diffusion coefficient:  $K_{\rm B}\simeq 40$ 

## Boron-to-Carbon Ratio

PM and Sarkar, PRL **103** (2009) 081104; Ahlers *et al.*, PRD **80** (2009) 123017



PAMELA is currently measuring B/C with unprecedented accuracy

A rise would rule out the DM and pulsar explanation of the PAMELA  $e^+/e^-$  excess.

## Boron-to-Carbon Ratio

PM and Sarkar, PRL 103 (2009) 081104; Ahlers et al., PRD 80 (2009) 123017



PAMELA is currently measuring B/C with unprecedented accuracy

A rise would rule out the DM and pulsar explanation of the PAMELA  $e^+/e^-$  excess.

#### **BORON AND CARBON FLUX – IN PROGRESS**



## Boron-to-Carbon Ratio

PM and Sarkar, PRL **103** (2009) 081104; Ahlers *et al.*, PRD **80** (2009) 123017



PAMELA is currently measuring B/C with unprecedented accuracy

A rise would rule out the DM and pulsar explanation of the PAMELA  $e^+/e^-$  excess.

## Boron-to-Carbon Ratio

PM and Sarkar, PRL 103 (2009) 081104; Ahlers et al., PRD 80 (2009) 123017



PAMELA is currently measuring B/C with unprecedented accuracy

A rise would rule out the DM and pulsar explanation of the PAMELA  $e^+/e^-$  excess.

### Conclusion







Astrophysical explantions of positron excess: pulsars?

Acceleration of secondary e<sup>+</sup> in SNRs could explain PAMELA and Fermi-LAT excess Very predictive model: nuclear secondary-toprimary ratios