Galactic Magnetic Deflections of UHECRs including realistic random field

Azadeh Keivani Department of Physics & Astronomy Louisiana State University

In collaboration with (LSU): Jim Matthews Michael Sutherland and (NYU): Glennys Farrar Jonathan Roberts

CRA Workshop Madíson, WI 9/26/2013

Outline



Tracking UHECRs * Method

Centaurus A * Arrival directions * Event excess

Random Field * Example



★ Examples

Jansson-Farrar GMF Model (JF12)

This model includes:

- Regular large scale component
- Random field component
- Striated random field component

Fit to the observational data: More than 40k extragalactic RM and the WMAP7 22 GHz polarized and total intensity synchrotron emission maps



R. Jansson and G. R. Farrar, ApJ 761 (2012)

JF12 Random Field

 Superposition of a disk component and an extended smooth halo component*

 \Rightarrow 13 Free parameters

✤ Coherence length of 100 pc

Kolmogorov Random Field (KRF)

Kolmogorov Power Spectrum α k ^{-5/3}

Previous works: Giacinti, et al (2012) Harari, et al (2002)

Scale the KRF with JF12 field strengths

*R. Jansson and G. R. Farrar, ApJ 761 (2012)

Kolmogorov Random Field



Kolmogorov Random Field (continued)



Kolmogorov Random Field in the Galaxy



Back-tracking

Real Source



We should consider the effect of the GMF to identify the sources of UHECRs

✦ Use CRT to propagate the cosmic rays

- Use HEALPix initial positions to back-track the cosmic rays
 - ✦ Res=11, Npixels: 50,331,648
 - ✦ Res=9, Npixels: 3,145,728

Michael Sutherland, et al (2010)

http://healpix.jpl.nasa.gov/index.shtml

Most simulations are done with Pleiades NASA HEC clsuter

✦ Recording the final velocity direction and position



JF12 Regular Component, R==E/Z=31 EV Initial Directions



JF12 Regular Component, R==E/Z=31 EV Source Directions









Simulated events from near Center of Cen A



Centroid and standard deviation of simulated events at each rigidity



Centroids of Simulated events: Center of Cen A



Centroids of Simulated events: North of Cen A



Centroids of Simulated events: South of Cen A



Cumulative number of Auger events vs. angular separation from Cen A



Cumulative number of events vs. angular separation from Cen A

Sources: within 3° of center of Cen A Observed directions: Within 45° of Cen A

Events are ½ protons and ½ iron nuclei with E ≥ 55 EeV

We observe an excess above isotropy in the simulations

20

30

20

10



Cumulative number of events vs. angular separation from Cen A

Sources: within 3° of center of Cen A **Observed directions:** Within 45° of Cen A

Events are ½ protons and ½ iron nuclei with $E \ge 55 \text{ EeV}$

We observe an excess above isotropy in the simulations





JF12 Regular + Random field



JF12 Regular + Random field



Mass composition: Assign charges to events



Assigned charges (Z) vs. energy



<Z> vs. Energy



Summary

We have found the arrival direction locations of UHECR with different rigidities in our simulations.
Simulations are done for R=100 EV down to 2 EV in regular field.
A rigidity of 2 EV can be a 2 EeV proton or a 52 EeV iron.

* We see an excess of simulated proton and iron within 45 degrees of CenA.

* The random field can induce larger deflection magnitudes than a sole regular field depending on the source direction and the energy

 We introduced a method to calculate the charge of a CR event.
Based on the hypotheses that the events are from Cen A and correlating with simulated regions, we are able to assign a charge to each event.

Thanks for your attention