



Denoising Radio Pulses From Air-Showers Using a Machine-Learning Method

Zhisen Lai in collaboration with Aurélien Benoit-Lévy, Oscar Macias, Claire Guepin, Arsene Ferriere, and on behalf of the GRAND Collaboration







01 Data Processing

Introduction of ZHaires Data distribution, Processing





 Dataset: Analog-to-Digital Converters counts (ADC) vs time [ns]

 SNR:= Max of Pure signal / Standard Deviation of Noisy Signal





Data Distribution for Various SNRs



Number of Antennas

~ 300.

- Many Signals with SNR < 1.
- **30,000** simulations

(80% training, 10%

test, 10% validation)







02

Introduction to the Autoencoder

Model Structure & Parameters





SAN FRANCISCO STATE UNIVERSITY

Motivation for the Autoencoder

General Structure

- **Encoder**: Compresses data, captures the essential features of the training data.
- Latent Space Representation: Stores the training data features.
- **Decoder:** Reconstructs data based on the true signal characteristics while filtering out noise.







Encoder of Time Domain and Frequency Domain

Model Architecture:

- **Time Domain Branch**: Processes raw ADC counts (x, y, z channels) in the time domain
- **Frequency Domain Branch**: Processes frequency-transformed ADC data (via FFT).
- Outputs of both branches are concatenated to form the latent space representation.







SAN FRANCISCO State University

Hyperparameters of the Model



Training Setup:

- Epochs: 100
- Learning Rate: 0.0001
- Optimizer: AdamW
- Loss Function: MSELoss
- Performance Metrics: MSE & PSNR





SAN FRANCISCO STATE UNIVERSITY

03

Results

Denoising Results and Analysis



SAN FRANCISCO State University

SNR > 10 (X-Channel)

GR/







^{10>} SNR > 3 (X-Channel)

GR



11





SNR < 3 (X-Channel)

GR



^G 10>SNR > 3, Peak Amplitude (X-Channel)





SAN FRANCISCO State University

X-Channel:

- **Left Panel:** Peak Amplitude of Noised Data (Y-Axis) vs Peak Amplitude of Clean Data (X-Axis). **MSE: 3.13**
- Right Panel: Peak Amplitude of Denoised Data (Y-Axis) vs Peak Amplitude of Clean Data (X-Axis).
 MSE: 3.00
- Data points are closer to the Red-dot line indicated the better reconstructions.

^{GR} 10>SNR > 3, Peak Time (Z-Channel)



SAN FRANCISCO State University

X-Channel:

•

- Left Panel MSE: 45,126
- Right Panel MSE: 9.55.
 - There is a significant effect in peak time reconstruction for snr between 3 and 10.

14

12

10

6

SNR

Left: Train with the Autoencoder model without frequency branch in the encoder

GR

Noisy vs Clean - Z Channel

Right: Train with the Autoencoder

14

12

10

SNR

8

6

2000

1750

(se 1500)

Data 1250

و 1000 الم

750

500

250

2

đ

E

Peak

Noisy vs Clean - Z Channel

model frequency branch in the encoder.



Denoised vs Clean - Z Channel

10>SNR > 3, Peak Time (Z-Channel)



----- x=y (MSE: 9.55)

Denoised vs Clean - Z Channel

430 431 432 433 434 435 436 437

Peak Time of Clean Data (ns)



GR/ND



 Encouraging preliminary results, but large preliminary dispersion













Summary and Future Work

• The Model works well for SNRs > 3, specially for the Peak Time Reconstruction.

Encouraging for SNR < 3, but further work needed.

• Our most important results so far: Improved peak time reconstruction suggests a more accurate determination of the trigger time.

• Future work: Test the Autoencoder model with real data Tune the model for inclusion in the antenna hardware.





Backup slides (Would not be presented)

• Backup slides from 19 to 35 would be the additional information





- Data Criterion: zenith between **70** and **89** degrees and primary energy > **10^9 GeV**
- Total Data size: There are **33833 ADC** signals in X, Y, Z Channel, respectively. Each signal has
 1024 time bins.
- Signals in X, Y, Z Channel are combined into one for **27066** training data, **3384** validation data, and **3383** test data.
- Data is passed by a bandwidth filter to the signals with frequencies smaller than 50 MHz and greater than 200 MHz.





Enhancing Autoencoder with ResNet

- Residual Connection, or skip connection to improve learning
- Allow gradients to flow more easily through the network, solving vanishing gradient problem.
- Benefit 1: Improve learning efficiency
- Benefit 2: Enhance signal reconstruction







 $PSNR = 10 \cdot \log_{10}$

SAN FRANCISCO STATE UNIVERSITY

Training Setup

- Dataset split: **80%** training, **10%** validation, **10%** testing.
- Batch size: 4 samples.
- Training details:
 - 50 epochs on **GPU cluster** using PyTorch.
 - **Learning rate:** 0.0001.
 - **Optimizer:** AdamW, combining Adam optimization with weight regularization to enhance convergence and prevent overfitting.
 - Loss Function: Mean Squared Error Loss (MSE Loss)
 - Performance Metrics: Peak Signal-to-Noise Ratio(PSNR), Mean Squared Error(MSE)

MSE =
$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$$







Training & Validation Loss







[]] 10> SNR > 3, Y-Channel

GR







SAN FRANCISCO STATE UNIVERSITY

SNR < 3, Y-Channel

GR

ND







SNR < 3, Z-Channel

GR



^{GR} 10>SNR > 3, Peak Time -Y





SAN FRANCISCO STATE UNIVERSITY



- Left Panel MSE: 410,40
- Right Panel MSE: 6.12

^{GR} 10>SNR > 3, Peak Time -Z



SAN FRANCISCO State University

Z-Channel:

- Left Panel MSE: 61,526
- Right Panel MSE: 7.31

10>SNR > 3, Peak Amplitude (Y-Channel)



Y-Channel:

- Left Panel MSE: 4.38
- Right Panel MSE: 6.11
- Lower SNR's reconstruction still needed to improve

SAN FRANCISCO

STATE UNIVERSITY

10>SNR > 3, Peak Amplitude (Z-Channel)



Z-Channel:

- Left Panel MSE: 8.81
- Right Panel MSE: 10.37

SAN FRANCISCO

STATE UNIVERSITY

SNR <3, Peak Time - Y





SAN FRANCISCO STATE UNIVERSITY

Y-Channel:

2.5

2.0

-1.5 [%]

1.0

0.5

- Left Panel MSE: 613,521
- Right Panel MSE: 185,793

SNR <3, Peak Time - Z





SAN FRANCISCO STATE UNIVERSITY

Z-Channel:

- Left Panel MSE: 612,121
- Right Panel MSE: 173,984

SNR <3, Peak Amplitude-Y





SAN FRANCISCO STATE UNIVERSITY



- Left Panel MSE: 14.37
- Right Panel MSE: 1.41

SNR <3, Peak Amplitude-Z





SAN FRANCISCO STATE UNIVERSITY

Z-Channel:

- Left Panel MSE: 14.15
- Right Panel MSE: 1.71