### The Unexpected TeV Emitters in the Galactic Plane



Ke Fang University of Wisconsin-Madison

> Sugar 2024, Madison, WI October16, 2024



- The sky viewed with 100 TeV photons
- Gamma-ray-obscured sources







# The sky viewed with 100 TeV photons

Gamma-ray-obscured sources







### **The PeVatron**

From P. Blasi's talk:

**Definition of a PeVatron:** "A PeVatron is a source that is able to accelerate particles with a spectrum that shows a substantial suppression with respect to its low energy power law extrapolation in the region of PeV energies"









### **The PeVatron**

From P. Blasi's talk:

**Definition of a PeVatron:** "A PeVatron is a source that is able to accelerate particles with a spectrum that shows a substantial suppression with respect to its low energy power law extrapolation in the region of PeV energies"

Strictly: a PeVatron is an object that accelerates protons to the PeV range with a hard (slope ~ 2) spectrum









### **The PeVatron**

From P. Blasi's talk:

**Definition of a PeVatron:** "A PeVatron is a source that is able to accelerate particles with a spectrum that shows a substantial suppression with respect to its low energy power law extrapolation in the region of PeV energies"

Strictly: a PeVatron is an object that accelerates protons to the PeV range with a hard (slope ~ 2) spectrum

**Broadly:** an object that accelerates either leptons or hadrons to the PeV range









#### **PeVatron in 2016**



 $\frac{6}{\sqrt{TS}}$ 



#### 0.1-100 TeV sky by HAWC

#### **PeVatron in 2016**





#### 0.1-100 TeV sky by HAWC







#### 0.1-100 TeV sky by HAWC

8 12  $\frac{6}{\sqrt{TS}}$ 2 10 14 4 \*incomplete list. Also see <u>TeVCat</u>



#### Cygnus Cocoon

360°



``

#### 0.1-100 TeV sky by HAWC



12 8  $\frac{6}{\sqrt{TS}}$ 2 10 14 4 \*incomplete list. Also see <u>TeVCat</u>



#### Cygnus Cocoon

 $360^{\circ}$ 



\*\*

-5° 5°

÷.

4

2





#### 0.1-100 TeV sky by HAWC







1

5° ∕-5°







#### Cygnus Cocoon



-2 -0





#### 0.1-100 TeV sky by HAWC





÷.

4

2



1

5° ∕-5°







#### Cygnus Cocoon







#### 0.1-100 TeV sky by HAWC





-5° 5

2

4





1

5°/-5°





#### Cygnus Cocoon

360°



``

#### 0.1-100 TeV sky by HAWC



12 8  $\frac{6}{\sqrt{TS}}$ 2 10 14 4 \*incomplete list. Also see <u>TeVCat</u>





















#### HAWC ApJL (2024)















#### HAWC ApJL (2024)











**H.E.S.S.** Nature (2016)



#### **HAWC ApJL (2024)**



• Electron cooling time  $\approx 13 (E/100 \,\text{TeV})^{-1} \,\text{yr} = 4 \,\text{pc}$ , too short to populate the 10-100 pc emission region

• The detection of emission to energies >100 TeV thus strongly disfavors the leptonic scenario







IR











IR









#### 0.1-100 GeV







IR









#### 0.1-100 GeV

#### 1-100 TeV







IR



• Gamma-rays from 0.1 GeV to 100 TeV trace infrared emission, likely from proton gas interaction



#### 0.1-100 GeV

#### 1-100 TeV





















 Gamma rays are likely from protons accelerated by stellar winds











 Gamma rays are likely from protons accelerated by stellar winds











- Gamma rays are likely from protons accelerated by stellar winds
- Continuous injection scenario: steady injection of **sub-PeV** protons to the cocoon over Myrs











- Gamma rays are likely from protons accelerated by stellar winds
- Continuous injection scenario: steady injection of **sub-PeV** protons to the cocoon over Myrs











- Gamma rays are likely from protons accelerated by stellar winds
- Continuous injection scenario: steady injection of **sub-PeV** protons to the cocoon over Myrs
- Recent burst scenario: a recent injection of > **PeV** protons; spectral turnover due to leak of high-energy particles



















-1- $\Phi_{\gamma}$  [TeV cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>





LHAASO Collaboration, Science Bulletin (2024) **KF** & Halzen, 2404.15944









-1] Ś  $\Phi_{\gamma}$  [TeV cm<sup>-2</sup>





LHAASO Collaboration, Science Bulletin (2024) **KF** & Halzen, 2404.15944









0.1-1 PeV gamma-ray observation indicates a "super-PeVatron"











0.1-1 PeV gamma-ray observation indicates a "super-PeVatron"











- 0.1-1 PeV gamma-ray observation indicates a "super-PeVatron"
- Plausible neutrino source











### **Cygnus Cocoon: Leptonic Emission?**



- Constrained X-ray emission by relativistic electrons





• X-ray follow-up with Swift-XRT (110 ks observations of 11 sites inside and around the Cocoon)

Swift Guest Investigator Program Cycle 17 Guevel et al, *ApJ* 2211.07617



### **Cygnus Cocoon: Leptonic Emission?**



- Constrained X-ray emission by relativistic electrons



• X-ray follow-up with Swift-XRT (110 ks observations of 11 sites inside and around the Cocoon)

Swift Guest Investigator Program Cycle 17 Guevel et al, *ApJ* 2211.07617




#### **PeVatron Zoo\* in 2024**



8

12

14

10







#### 0.1-100 TeV sky by HAWC

 $\dot{2}$ 

 $\frac{6}{\sqrt{TS}}$ 

4









- Gamma-ray emitting site closes to a molecular cloud

# • Observed in radio, X-ray, and TeV gamma-ray (VERITAS, HAWC, Tibet, LHAASO)

Significa







- Gamma-ray emitting site closes to a molecular cloud
- Gamma-ray spectrum may be explained by either proton or electron emission

1-100 TeV Tibet ASγ Coll. *Nature Astro.* (2021)



2-10 keV

Significance (*σ*) 0 338 336 Right ascension (deg)

• Observed in radio, X-ray, and TeV gamma-ray (VERITAS, HAWC, Tibet, LHAASO)

















• Emission above 10 GeV is observed, consistent with TeV measurements; no emission found below 10 GeV











- Emission above 10 GeV is observed, consistent with TeV measurements; no emission found below 10 GeV
- Model with proton contribution is favored at >5  $\sigma$  significance



#### **Electron + Proton**

**Best-fit proton maximum energy** = 0.9 PeV









- Emission above 10 GeV is observed, consistent with TeV measurements; no emission found below 10 GeV
- Model with proton contribution is favored at >5  $\sigma$  significance

first PeVatron SNR candidate; very hard proton spectrum



#### **Electron + Proton**

**Best-fit proton maximum energy** = 0.9 PeV







#### **PeVatron Zoo\* in 2024**



#### 0.1-100 TeV sky by HAWC

 $\frac{6}{\sqrt{TS}}$ \*incomplete list. Also see TeVCat





Safi-Harb et al with KF, ApJ (2022)





HAWC Collaboration, Nature (2018) **KF** as main author







 Point-like TeV gamma-rays in both lobes detected by HAWC

> HAWC Collaboration, Nature (2018) **KF** as main author









ROSAT 0.2 keV HAWC ~20 TeV



 Point-like TeV gamma-rays in both lobes detected by HAWC









ROSAT 0.2 keV HAWC ~20 TeV



 Point-like TeV gamma-rays in both lobes detected by HAWC

> • Particle acceleration sites ~30 pc away from hole

HAWC Collaboration, Nature (2018) **KF** as main author







### SS 433 jets





### SS 433 jets





### SS 433 jets



















GeV-to-TeV Gamma-ray emission can be explained by inverse Compton emission by relativistic electrons that cool efficiently





GeV-to-TeV Gamma-ray emission can be explained by inverse Compton emission by relativistic electrons that cool efficiently

Not a hadronic PeVatron, but shows jets accelerating 100 TeV electrons



## SS 433 / W50: H.E.S.S. results



H.E.S.S. Collaboration, *Science* (2024)











## **SS 433 / W50: H.E.S.S. results**



- Gamma-ray emission sites vary slightly in energy bands
- Explained as shock velocity changes

H.E.S.S. Collaboration, *Science* (2024)

















#### HAWC Collaboration (**KF** as corresponding author), *ApJ (2024)*









• SS 433 observed with a systematic analysis approach, confirming 2018 results





HAWC Collaboration (**KF** as corresponding author), *ApJ (2024)* 



















• Need new models to fit the data!









- Need new models to fit the data!
- HAWC and H.E.S.S. data above 10 TeV could indicate a failure of one-zone leptonic models









- Need new models to fit the data!
- HAWC and H.E.S.S. data above 10 TeV could indicate a failure of one-zone leptonic models
- Also suggested by LHAASO observation above 100 TeV



























• X-ray binary with a 3-10 solar mass black hole. Super-Eddington flares in radio and likely X-ray





#### https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-024-07995-9





• X-ray binary with a 3-10 solar mass black hole. Super-Eddington flares in radio and likely X-ray Elongated emission extends to > 100 TeV







#### https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-024-07995-9





• X-ray binary with a 3-10 solar mass black hole. Super-Eddington flares in radio and likely X-ray Elongated emission extends to > 100 TeV Observation consistent with Jet size

 $R \sim (L_{\text{jet}}/n_0 m_p)^{1/5} t^{3/5} \sim 100 \,\text{pc}$ 







#### https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-024-07995-9





• X-ray binary with a 3-10 solar mass black hole. Super-Eddington flares in radio and likely X-ray Elongated emission extends to > 100 TeV Observation consistent with Jet size

 $R \sim (L_{\text{jet}}/n_0 m_p)^{1/5} t^{3/5} \sim 100 \,\text{pc}$ 

 Adds to SS 433 as the second Galactic microquasar with large-scale jets







### V4641 Sagittarii: PeVatron perspective





#### HAWC Collaboration, Nature (2024)
















.  $dN/dE_{\gamma} \propto E_{\gamma}^{-2.2}$  . Among the **hardest source** ever detected by air shower gamma-ray observatories









.  $dN/dE_{\gamma} \propto E_{\gamma}^{-2.2}$  . Among the **hardest source** ever detected by air shower gamma-ray observatories









- .  $dN/dE_{\gamma} \propto E_{\gamma}^{-2.2}$  . Among the **hardest source** ever detected by air shower gamma-ray observatories
- pp interaction may explain the emission with  $L_p \ll L_{\rm Edd}$









- .  $dN/dE_{\gamma} \propto E_{\gamma}^{-2.2}$  . Among the **hardest source** ever detected by air shower gamma-ray observatories
- pp interaction may explain the emission with  $L_p \ll L_{\rm Edd}$









- .  $dN/dE_{\gamma} \propto E_{\gamma}^{-2.2}$  . Among the **hardest source** ever detected by air shower gamma-ray observatories
- pp interaction may explain the emission with  $L_p \ll L_{\rm Edd}$
- A leptonic scenario is challenging as 100 TeV electrons can hardly diffuse over 100 pc. It also requires fast acceleration





# V4641 Sagittarii: H.E.S.S. followup



- Seen by H.E.S.S. with ~115 hours of total observation time
- Harder spectrum (<2)</li>
- Detected up to 800 TeV by LHAASO
- More multi-wavelength/multi-messenger followup coming





### Olivera-Nieto Gamma2024





# V4641 Sagittarii: H.E.S.S. followup



- Seen by H.E.S.S. with ~115 hours of total observation time
- Harder spectrum (<2)</li>
- Detected up to 800 TeV by LHAASO
- More multi-wavelength/multi-messenger followup coming





### Olivera-Nieto Gamma2024

- Shock acceleration in regions where jets passing pre-existing clumps?
- Reconnection in jets?

Cavity in jets?







### **PeVatron Zoo\* in 2024**

### Cygnus Cocoon







### 0.1-100 TeV sky by HAWC





-5° 5

2

4





1

5°/-5°





### **PeVatron Zoo\* in 2024**

### Cygnus Cocoon





New source classes + expected source class but unexpected look at **TeV-PeV** 



### **Galactic center PeVatron**



-5 5

2

![](_page_81_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_81_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_81_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_81_Picture_11.jpeg)

# **PeVatron Zoo\* in 2024: Neutrino efforts**

![](_page_82_Figure_1.jpeg)

Diffuse Galactic plane analyses	Flux sensitivity $\Phi$	p-value	Best-fitting flux $\Phi$
$\pi^0$	5.98	$1.26 \times 10^{-6} (4.71\sigma)$	$21.8 \ ^{+5.3}_{-4.9}$
${ m KRA}^5_\gamma$	$0.16 \times MF$	$6.13 \times 10^{-6}$ (4.37 $\sigma$ )	$0.55^{+0.18}_{-0.15}  imes \mathrm{MF}$
$\mathbf{KRA}_{\gamma}^{50}$	$0.11 \times MF$	$3.72 \times 10^{-5} (3.96\sigma)$	$0.37^{+0.13}_{-0.11}  imes \mathrm{MF}$
Catalog stacking	p-value		
analyses			
SNR		$5.90 \times 10^{-4} (3.24\sigma)^*$	
PWN		$5.93 \times 10^{-4} (3.24\sigma)^*$	
UNID		$3.39 \times 10^{-4} (3.40\sigma)^*$	

IceCube Collaboration, Science (2023)

**KF** & Halzen, 2404.15944

![](_page_82_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_82_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_82_Picture_10.jpeg)

# **PeVatron Zoo\* in 2024: Neutrino efforts**

![](_page_83_Figure_1.jpeg)

Diffuse Galactic plane analyses	Flux sensitivity $\Phi$	p-value	Best-fitting flux $\Phi$
$\pi^0$	5.98	$1.26 \times 10^{-6} (4.71\sigma)$	$21.8 \ ^{+5.3}_{-4.9}$
${ m KRA}^5_\gamma$	$0.16 \times MF$	$6.13 \times 10^{-6}$ (4.37 $\sigma$ )	$0.55^{+0.18}_{-0.15}  imes \mathrm{MF}$
$\mathbf{KRA}_{\gamma}^{50}$	$0.11 \times MF$	$3.72 \times 10^{-5} (3.96\sigma)$	$0.37^{+0.13}_{-0.11}  imes \mathrm{MF}$
Catalog stacking	p-value		
analyses			
SNR		$5.90 \times 10^{-4} (3.24\sigma)^*$	
PWN		$5.93 \times 10^{-4} (3.24\sigma)^*$	
UNID		$3.39 \times 10^{-4} (3.40\sigma)^*$	

IceCube Collaboration, *Science* (2023)

![](_page_83_Picture_5.jpeg)

- Enhanced data & selection
  - New event selection in the Southern sky (<u>ESTES</u>)
  - Multi-flavor combined sample
- Various source classes:
  - XRBs (7.5 years of tracks)
  - PWNe (<u>9.5 years of point-source data</u>)
  - LHAASO UHE sources (<u>11 yr tracks</u>)
  - Extended gamma-ray sources (<u>10 yr tracks</u>)
- Joint search in gamma-ray data
  - IceCube & HAWC

### **KF** & Halzen, 2404.15944

![](_page_83_Picture_17.jpeg)

![](_page_83_Picture_20.jpeg)

![](_page_83_Picture_21.jpeg)

![](_page_83_Picture_22.jpeg)

![](_page_83_Picture_23.jpeg)

# • The sky viewed with 100 TeV photons

Gamma-ray-obscured sources

![](_page_84_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_84_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_84_Picture_7.jpeg)

### **Extragalactic neutrino sources are likely gamma-ray-opaque!**

### **Diffuse Emission**

![](_page_85_Figure_2.jpeg)

Murase, Guetta, Ahlers PRL (2016) <u>Capanema et al PRD (2020)</u>, <u>JCAP (2021)</u> KF, Gallagher, Halzen, ApJ (2022)

![](_page_85_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_85_Picture_8.jpeg)

# **Extragalactic neutrino sources are likely gamma-ray-opaque!**

### **Diffuse Emission**

![](_page_86_Figure_2.jpeg)

Murase, Guetta, Ahlers PRL (2016) Capanema et al PRD (2020), JCAP (2021) KF, Gallagher, Halzen, ApJ (2022)

![](_page_86_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_86_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_86_Picture_12.jpeg)

### TeV to PeV multi-messenger emission by the Galactic Plane

![](_page_87_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_87_Figure_2.jpeg)

 $\nu \gamma$ 

![](_page_87_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_87_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_87_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_87_Picture_8.jpeg)

# TeV to PeV multi-messenger emission by the Galactic Plane

![](_page_88_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_88_Figure_2.jpeg)

What does the neutrino Galactic plane flux imply for Galactic PeVatrons?

![](_page_88_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_88_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_88_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_88_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_88_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_89_Picture_1.jpeg)

**KF** & Murase *ApJ* (2021)

![](_page_89_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_89_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_89_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_90_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_90_Picture_2.jpeg)

**KF** & Murase *ApJ* (2021)

![](_page_90_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_90_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_90_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_91_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_91_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_91_Figure_3.jpeg)

**KF** & Murase *ApJ* (2021)

![](_page_91_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_91_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_91_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_92_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_92_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_92_Figure_3.jpeg)

**KF** & Murase *ApJ* (2021)

![](_page_92_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_92_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_92_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_93_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_93_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_93_Figure_3.jpeg)

**KF** & Murase *ApJ* (2021)

![](_page_93_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_93_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_93_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_94_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_94_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_94_Figure_3.jpeg)

**KF** & Murase *ApJ* (2021) KF & Murase ApJL (2023)

![](_page_94_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_94_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_94_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_95_Figure_1.jpeg)

Above ~30 TeV, gamma-ray emission is dominated by hadronic process and/or there exists a population of gamma-ray-obscured neutrino emitters

![](_page_95_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_95_Figure_4.jpeg)

**KF** & Murase *ApJ* (2021) KF & Murase ApJL (2023)

![](_page_95_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_95_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_95_Picture_10.jpeg)

$$\mathscr{C} = \frac{L_X}{R} \frac{\sigma_T}{m_e c^3}$$

![](_page_96_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_96_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_96_Picture_6.jpeg)

$$\mathscr{C} = \frac{L_X}{R} \frac{\sigma_T}{m_e c^3}$$

![](_page_97_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_97_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_97_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_97_Picture_8.jpeg)

$$\ell = \frac{L_X}{R} \frac{\sigma_T}{m_e c^3}$$

Acceleration  $\sigma_{\pm} = \frac{B^2}{4\pi n_e m_e c^2} = \frac{\xi_B}{2\pi \tau_T} \ell \qquad n_e \approx \tau_T / \sigma_T R$ 

![](_page_98_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_98_Figure_4.jpeg)

$$u_B = \xi_B \, u_X$$

![](_page_98_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_98_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_98_Picture_12.jpeg)

$$\mathscr{C} = \frac{L_X}{R} \frac{\sigma_T}{m_e c^3}$$

Acceleration

$$\sigma_{\pm} = \frac{B^2}{4\pi n_e m_e c^2}$$

Interaction

$$au_{p\gamma} \propto rac{m_e c^2}{\epsilon_X} rac{\sigma_{p\gamma}}{\sigma_T} \ell$$

$$au_{pp} \propto rac{n_p}{n_e} rac{\sigma_{pp}}{\sigma_T} au_T$$

![](_page_99_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_99_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_99_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_99_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_99_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_99_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_100_Figure_1.jpeg)

Acceleration 
$$\sigma_{\pm} = \frac{B^2}{4\pi n_e m_e c}$$

Interaction

$$au_{p\gamma} \propto rac{m_e c^2}{\epsilon_X} rac{\sigma_{p\gamma}}{\sigma_T} \ell$$

 $\tau_{pp} \propto \frac{n_p}{n_e} \frac{\sigma_{pp}}{\sigma_T} \tau_T$ 

the X-ray source

![](_page_100_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_100_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_100_Figure_9.jpeg)

• Particle acceleration and interaction timescales in the coronal region are tied to the compactness of

![](_page_100_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_100_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_100_Picture_16.jpeg)

$$\mathscr{C} = \frac{L_X}{R} \frac{\sigma_T}{m_e c^3}$$

Acceleration 
$$\sigma_{\pm} = \frac{B^2}{4\pi n_e m_e c}$$

$$au_{p\gamma} \propto rac{m_e c^2}{\epsilon_X} rac{\sigma_{p\gamma}}{\sigma_T}$$

 $\tau_{pp} \propto \frac{n_p}{n_e} \frac{\sigma_{pp}}{\sigma_T} \tau_T$ 

the X-ray source

Interaction

black hole XRBs, despite of their drastically different masses and physical sizes.

![](_page_101_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_101_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_101_Picture_9.jpeg)

• Particle acceleration and interaction timescales in the coronal region are tied to the compactness of

Neutrino emission processes may similarly happen in the cores of active galactic nuclei and

![](_page_101_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_101_Picture_17.jpeg)

![](_page_101_Picture_18.jpeg)

![](_page_102_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_102_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_102_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_102_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_103_Figure_1.jpeg)

Krawczynski et al Science (2022)

![](_page_103_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_103_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_103_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_104_Figure_1.jpeg)

Krawczynski et al Science (2022)

![](_page_104_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_104_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_104_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_105_Figure_1.jpeg)

Krawczynski et al Science (2022)

![](_page_105_Picture_3.jpeg)

Hard and soft states

![](_page_105_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_105_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_106_Figure_1.jpeg)

Krawczynski et al Science (2022)

![](_page_106_Picture_3.jpeg)

Hard and soft states

![](_page_106_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_106_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_107_Figure_1.jpeg)

Krawczynski et al Science (2022)

![](_page_107_Picture_3.jpeg)

- Hard and soft states
- Transition of states due to different accretion states. In hard/soft state, coronal emission dominates at large/small radius

![](_page_107_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_107_Picture_9.jpeg)




- Hard and soft states
- Transition of states due to different accretion states. In hard/soft state, coronal emission dominates at large/small radius









- Hard and soft states
- Transition of states due to different accretion states. In hard/soft state, coronal emission dominates at large/small radius
- Hard X-ray to MeV gamma-ray emission associated with corona









- Hard and soft states
- Transition of states due to different accretion states. In hard/soft state, coronal emission dominates at large/small radius
- Hard X-ray to MeV gamma-ray emission associated with corona









- Hard and soft states
- Transition of states due to different accretion states. In hard/soft state, coronal emission dominates at large/small radius
- Hard X-ray to MeV gamma-ray emission associated with corona
- 1-100 GeV emission only in hard state; sub-GeV emission seen in both states. Origin unknown







- Hard state:  $R \sim 100 R_g$ ,  $\ell \sim 2$ ,  $\sigma_{\pm} \sim 0.1$ , turbulent acceleration
- . Soft state:  $R \sim 30 R_g$ ,  $\ell \sim 20$ ,  $\sigma_{\pm} \sim 60$ , magnetic reconnection



. In both states, coronal region is **opaque to gamma rays**. Soft state has  $\tau_{\gamma\gamma} \gg 1$ 









- Hard state:  $R \sim 100 R_g$ ,  $\ell \sim 2$ ,  $\sigma_{\pm} \sim 0.1$ , turbulent acceleration
- Soft state:  $R \sim 30 R_g$ ,  $\ell \sim 20$ ,  $\sigma_{\pm} \sim 60$ , magnetic reconnection

 $\mathcal{N}$ 

. In both states, coronal region is **opaque to gamma rays**. Soft state has  $\tau_{\gamma\gamma} \gg 1$ 



















- *v* emission detectable with future observations



• Hadronic  $\gamma$  rays cascade down to sub-GeV energies in soft state and 0.1-100 GeV in hard state









- *v* emission detectable with future observations
- State-averaged  $\gamma$ -ray flux is consistent with TeV limits and LHAASO observation



• Hadronic  $\gamma$  rays cascade down to sub-GeV energies in soft state and 0.1-100 GeV in hard state









- *v* emission detectable with future observations
- . State-averaged  $\gamma$ -ray flux is consistent with TeV limits and LHAASO observation
- Galactic XRB coronal emission could explain both Galactic cosmic-ray and neutrino flux



• Hadronic  $\gamma$  rays cascade down to sub-GeV energies in soft state and 0.1-100 GeV in hard state











36



its individual sources and diffuse emission



Recent gamma-ray observations reveal new looks of the Milky Way, including





its individual sources and diffuse emission



Recent gamma-ray observations reveal new looks of the Milky Way, including





- its individual sources and diffuse emission
- of hadronic sources



Recent gamma-ray observations reveal new looks of the Milky Way, including

The Galactic plane emission observed by IceCube indicates a large population





- its individual sources and diffuse emission
- of hadronic sources



Recent gamma-ray observations reveal new looks of the Milky Way, including

The Galactic plane emission observed by IceCube indicates a large population





- Recent gamma-ray observations reveal new looks of the Milky Way, including its individual sources and diffuse emission
- The Galactic plane emission observed by IceCube indicates a large population of hadronic sources
- X-ray binary coronae, like their extragalactic big brothers, may work as gammaray-opaque neutrino emitters





