TALE FD Cosmic Ray Composition and Spectrum Update

Tareq AbuZayyad for the Telescope Array Collaboration CRA 2023 Loyola University Chicago, USA 2023/05/17

Outline

- Introduction
- TALE Detector
- Data and Analysis
- Results
- Summary

Introduction

- I review results on the measurement of the cosmic-ray energy spectrum and composition using the Telescope Array Low Energy Extension (TALE) Fluorescence Detector (FD).
- Mass composition is inferred through measuring the shower development X_{max}.
- The measurement covers the cosmic rays energy range 10^{15.3} – 10¹⁸ eV

Introduction

- TALE FD energy spectrum measurement using two years of data was published in ApJ in 2018: DOI: 10.3847/1538-4357/aada05
- TALE FD mass composition results based on four years of data were published in ApJ in 2021: <u>DOI: 10.3847/1538-4357/abdd30</u>
- The analysis was updated to use seven years of data for the ICRC 2021 meeting:
 - https://pos.sissa.it/395/346/pdf
 - https://pos.sissa.it/395/347/pdf
- Here we review results presented at the ICRC and show updated results using nine years of data.
 - Work in progress (Not ready for publication yet)

Telescope Array (TA) Low Energy Extension (TALE)



All 10 Telescopes installed and in operation since fall 2013

3/03/29

80 scintillation surface detectors deployed:

40 SDs with 400m spacing

40 SDs with 600m spacing

Majority started operations in **summer of 2017**.

TALE Fluorescence Detector

- 10 high-elevation telescopes at the Middle Drum site, looking from 31°-59° in elevation.
- Operate in conjunction with the TA Middle Drum FD.





TALE FD Event

For TALE FD reconstruction: we combined the time and profile fit: simultaneous Profile Constrained Geometry Fit (PCFG)

originally developed for HiRes monocular analysis





TALE Cherenkov Event

PCGF turns out to work very well on Cherenkov light dominated events





TALE Cherenkov Event

PCGF turns out to work very well on Cherenkov light dominated events



Reconstruction Resolution (Geometry) (1)

- One histogram per decade in energy starting at E = 10^{15.3} eV
- Shower Track R_p [m]
- Histogram: $\Delta R_p / R_p$





Reconstruction Resolution (Geometry) (2)

- One histogram per decade in energy starting at E = 10^{15.3} eV
- Shower Track ψ angle (degree)
- Histogram: $\Delta \psi$ (degree)





Reconstruction Resolution (Geometry) (3)

- One histogram per decade in energy starting at E = 10^{15.3} eV
- Shower Track zenith angle (degree)
- Histogram: $\Delta \theta$ (degree)





Reconstruction Resolution (Energy)

- One histogram per decade in energy starting at E = 10^{15.3} eV
- Shower Energy [eV]
- Histogram: $\Delta E / E$





Reconstruction Resolution (Xmax)

- One histogram per decade in energy starting at E = 10^{15.3} eV
- Shower X_{max} [g / cm²]
- Histogram: ΔX_{max} [g / cm²]





Data Sets

- TALE FD monocular data (Cherenkov light dominated).
- Data collection period: 06/2014 11/2018 (published ApJ 2021)
- 2633 hours of observation
- ICRC 2021 updated data:
 - 12/2018 04/2021: 822 hours of observation
- Latest update:
 - 05/2021 09/04/2022: 675 hours of observation
- Data collection period: 06/2014 04/2021 (*ICRC 2021*)
- 3456 hours of observation
- Update through 09/04/2022 (This meeting)
 - 4131 hours

Data Set Update

- Detector data was calibrated and reconstructed for the period 05/2021 – 09/04/2022
- Good weather selection was made
- Event reconstruction and Event selection same as the published composition measurement.
- MC simulations for this period have not been performed and I am relying on the existing four year simulation set to be representative of the new data.

Composition Analysis: Primary Fractions (Xmax Fits)

- Event reconstruction: Shower calorimetric energy (E_{cal}), shower Xmax for each event.
- Events (Data & MC) binned in energy; bins [0.1 in log(E)]
- At each energy bin:
 - Fit Data Xmax distribution histogram as a sum of four (MC) primary Xmax distributions:
 - Primaries: proton, helium, nitrogen (CNO), iron.
 - MC / Data reconstructed, filtered identically.
- Energy range: 15.2 < log10(E_{cal} [eV]) < 18.0
 - Run out of statistics above 10¹⁸ eV.
- Use ROOT's TFractionFitter to do actual fit.

Example X_{max} distributions (1)

- Data and MC events
 reconstructed with
 energies in the range of:
- 15.7 < log₁₀ (E_{cal}) < 15.8
- All Plots: (Black) Data
- Top left: Iron
- Top right: CNO
- Bottom left: Helium
- Bottom right: Proton









Example X_{max} distributions (2)

- Data and MC events reconstructed with energies in the range of:
- 16.7 < log₁₀ (E_{cal}) < 16.8
- All Plots: (Black) **Data**
- Top left: Iron
- Top right: CNO
- Bottom left: Helium
- Bottom right: Proton





Fit results (EPOS-LHC)

- Published results based on four years of data.
- Lowest Energy bin starts at: log₁₀(E_{cal}) = 15.2
- Mean log(A) calculated as a weighted sum of log(A) for each of 4 fit primaries.
- TALE data <In (A)> from fractions in top figure.





Mean Reconstructed X_{max} vs. Shower Energy

(Top Figure): Reconstructed Data <X_{max}> vs. Shower total Energy starting at log(E [eV]) = 15.3

Also shown, results for 4 MC primaries.

- (Bottom Figure): A broken line fit to TALE data <X_{max}>
 - Break point: 17.23 +/- 0.05
 - Slope before: 35.13 +/- 0.35
 - Slope after: 62.40 +/- 4.95
- (Bottom Figure): Also shown (red squares) are <X_{max}> reported by TA using hybrid events from Black Rock / Long Ridge FD's and the main SD array.







Mean Reconstructed X_{max} vs. Shower Energy

- Including data collected between 2018/12 through 2021/04
- (Top Figure): New Data <X_{max}> compared to published data (2014/06-2018/11)
- (Bottom Figure): All data along with updated broken line fit to data <X_{max}>
- (Both Figures): Also shown (red squares) are <X_{max}> reported by TA using hybrid events from Black Rock / Long Ridge FD's and the main SD array.



Nine year update

New Data on-time (Applies to both updates)

- Accurate detector exposure calculation requires MC simulation with information about status of each telescope (on or off) for a particular time period.
- Period starting in December 2018 does not have corresponding MC simulation.
- To estimate the exposure for the period starting December 2018, *I* **required** that the CR flux from this period have the same normalization as the flux measured using the four year data set, i.e. the set with the accurate exposure calculation.
- Effective on-time was found to be 770 hours; slightly above the average value of 751 hours.
 - This is most likely due to the fact that most of the data was collected in winter months with better visibility than yearly average.

Energy Spectrum (1)

- Updated spectrum compared to ApJ 2018.
 - \sim QGSJetII-03 \rightarrow EPOS-HHC [Missing Energy]
 - ^{$\tilde{}$} All Events \rightarrow Composition event selection

Energy Spectrum (2)

Nine year TALE Energy spectrum (Monocular)



Mean Reconstructed X_{max}

 Including data collected between 06/2014 through 09/04/2022



Summary

- Presented a TALE measurement of cosmic rays composition;
 - Updated through August 2022
- Data X_{max} distributions were fit to a mix of four primaries (p, He, CNO, Fe)
- Results: Fit primary fractions; mean log (A) calculated from fit primary fractions
- Mean X_{max} variation with shower energy shows a break in the elongation rate at E = 10^{17.2} eV.

BACKUP SLIDES

Fit results (QGSJetII-03)

- Lowest Energy bin starts at: log₁₀(E_{cal}) = 15.7
- Mean log(A) calculated as a weighted sum of log(A) for each of 4 fit primaries.
- MC thrown with equal number of primaries: <In (A)> = 2.01
- Reconstructed MC <In (A)> blue squares.
- TALE data (corrected fractions) shown in red.



Reconstructed MC Primary Fractions (Equal fractions thrown)

