# Modeling of cosmic-ray anisotropy at TeV energies in an MHD model heliosphere

T. K. Sako on behalf of the Tibet ASγ Collaboration CRA 2023, May 16-19



#### Tibet ASy Collaboration



M. Amenomori<sup>1</sup>, S. Asano<sup>2</sup>, Y. W. Bao<sup>3</sup>, X. J. Bi<sup>4</sup>, D. Chen<sup>5</sup>, T. L. Chen<sup>6</sup>, W. Y. Chen<sup>4</sup>, Xu Chen<sup>4,5</sup>, Y. Chen<sup>3</sup>, Cirennima<sup>6</sup>,
S. W. Cui<sup>7</sup>, Danzengluobu<sup>6</sup>, L. K. Ding<sup>4</sup>, J. H. Fang<sup>4,8</sup>, K. Fang<sup>4</sup>, C. F. Feng<sup>9</sup>, Zhaoyang Feng<sup>4</sup>, Z. Y. Feng<sup>10</sup>, Qi Gao<sup>6</sup>, A. Gomi<sup>11</sup>,
Q. B. Gou<sup>4</sup>, Y. Q. Guo<sup>4</sup>, Y. Y. Guo<sup>4</sup>, Y. Hayashi<sup>2</sup>, H. H. He<sup>4</sup>, Z. T. He<sup>7</sup>, K. Hibino<sup>12</sup>, N. Hotta<sup>13</sup>, Haibing Hu<sup>6</sup>, H. B. Hu<sup>4</sup>, K. Y. Hu<sup>4,8</sup>,
J. Huang<sup>4</sup>, H. Y. Jia<sup>10</sup>, L. Jiang<sup>4</sup>, P. Jiang<sup>5</sup>, H. B. Jin<sup>5</sup>, K. Kasahara<sup>14</sup>, Y. Katayose<sup>11</sup>, C. Kato<sup>2</sup>, S. Kato<sup>15</sup>, I. Kawahara<sup>11</sup>,
T. Kawashima<sup>15</sup>, K. Kawata<sup>15</sup>, M. Kozai<sup>16</sup>, D. Kurashige<sup>11</sup>, Labaciren<sup>6</sup>, G. M. Le<sup>17</sup>, A. F. Li<sup>4,9,18</sup>, H. J. Li<sup>6</sup>, W. J. Li<sup>4,10</sup>, Y. Li<sup>5</sup>,
Y. H. Lin<sup>4,8</sup>, B. Liu<sup>19</sup>, C. Liu<sup>4</sup>, J. S. Liu<sup>4</sup>, L. Y. Liu<sup>5</sup>, M. Y. Liu<sup>6</sup>, W. Liu<sup>4</sup>, H. Lu<sup>4</sup>, X. R. Meng<sup>6</sup>, Y. Meng<sup>4,8</sup>, K. Munakata<sup>2</sup>,
K. Nagaya<sup>11</sup>, Y. Nakamura<sup>15</sup>, Y. Nakazawa<sup>20</sup>, H. Nanjo<sup>1</sup>, C. C. Ning<sup>6</sup>, M. Nishizawa<sup>21</sup>, R. Noguchi<sup>11</sup>, M. Ohnishi<sup>15</sup>, S. Okukawa<sup>11</sup>,
S. Ozawa<sup>22</sup>, X. Qian<sup>5</sup>, X. L. Qian<sup>23</sup>, X. B. Qu<sup>24</sup>, T. Saito<sup>25</sup>, Y. Sakakibara<sup>11</sup>, M. Sakata<sup>26</sup>, T. Sako<sup>15</sup>, T. K. Sako<sup>15</sup>, T. Sasaki<sup>12</sup>,
J. Shao<sup>4,9</sup>, M. Shibata<sup>11</sup>, A. Shiomi<sup>20</sup>, H. Sugimoto<sup>27</sup>, W. Takano<sup>12</sup>, M. Takita<sup>15</sup>, Y. H. Tan<sup>4</sup>, N. Tateyama<sup>12</sup>, S. Torii<sup>28</sup>, H. Tsuchiya<sup>29</sup>,
S. Udo<sup>12</sup>, H. Wang<sup>4</sup>, S. F. Wang<sup>6</sup>, Y. P. Wang<sup>6</sup>, Wangdui<sup>6</sup>, H. R. Wu<sup>4</sup>, Q. Wu<sup>6</sup>, J. L. Xu<sup>5</sup>, L. Xue<sup>9</sup>, Z. Yang<sup>4</sup>, Y. Q. Yao<sup>5</sup>, J. Yin<sup>5</sup>,
Y. Yokoe<sup>15</sup>, Y. L. Yu<sup>4,8</sup>, A. F. Yuan<sup>6</sup>, L. M. Zhai<sup>5</sup>, H. M. Zhang<sup>4</sup>, J. L. Zhang<sup>4</sup>, X. Zhang<sup>3</sup>, X. Y. Zhang<sup>9</sup>, Y. Zhang<sup>4</sup>, Yi Zhang<sup>30</sup>,

1 Department of Physics, Hirosaki Univ., Japan.

2 Department of Physics, Shinshu Univ., Japan.

3 School of Astronomy and Space Science, Nanjing Univ., China.

4 Key Laboratory of Particle Astrophysics, Institute of High Energy Physics, CAS, China.

5 National Astronomical Observatories, CAS, China.

6 Department of Mathematics and Physics, Tibet Univ., China.

7 Department of Physics, Hebei Normal Univ., China.

8 Univ. of Chinese Academy of Sciences, China.

9 Institute of Frontier and Interdisciplinary Science and Key Laboratory of

Particle Physics and Particle Irradiation (MOE), Shandong Univ., China.

10 Institute of Modern Physics, SouthWest Jiaotong Univ., China.

11 Faculty of Engineering, Yokohama National Univ., Japan.

12 Faculty of Engineering, Kanagawa Univ., Japan.

13 Faculty of Education, Utsunomiya Univ., Japan.

14 Faculty of Systems Engineering, Shibaura Institute of Technology, Japan.

15 Institute for Cosmic Ray Research, Univ. of Tokyo, Japan.

16 Polar Environment Data Science Center, Joint Support-Center for Data Science Research, Research Organization of Information and Systems, Japan.

17 National Center for Space Weather, China Meteorological Administration, China.

18 School of Information Science and Engineering, Shandong Agriculture Univ., China.

19 Department of Astronomy, School of Physical Sciences, Univ. of Science and Technology of China, China.

20 College of Industrial Technology, Nihon Univ., Japan.

21 National Institute of Informatics, Japan.

22 National Institute of Information and Communications Technology, Japan.

23 Department of Mechanical and Electrical Engineering, Shangdong Management Univ., China.

24 College of Science, China Univ. of Petroleum, China.

25 Tokyo Metropolitan College of Industrial Technology, Japan.

26 Department of Physics, Konan Univ., Japan.

27 Shonan Institute of Technology, Japan.

28 Research Institute for Science and Engineering, Waseda Univ., Japan.

29 Japan Atomic Energy Agency, TJapan.

30 Key Laboratory of Dark Matter and Space Astronomy, Purple Mountain Observatory, CAS, China.

# **Tibet Air Shower Array**

@Yangbajing in Tibet, China (90.522° E, 30.102° N, 4,300 m a.s.l.)

This presentation uses data from Nov 1999 to May 2010



5mm Thick Lea





#### Recent study based on intensity mapping (2)





#### Anisotropy @ outer boundary



Dipole amplitude A<sub>1</sub> along B<sub>ISM</sub> is dominant
 CR density gradient direction (\(\nabla f\)) close to Vela



#### MHD model heliosphere used in this work



# Intensity mapping method

- > Set Earth at 4 positions ( $\pm$ 1AU, 0, 0), (0,  $\pm$ 1 AU, 0)
- Shoot CR particles with reversed charge into MHD heliosphere
  - initial directions (4 samplings for each data pixel)
  - Observed rigidity distribution taken into account
- Record CR momentum directions @ outer boundary
  - Boundary defined as a surface where: Deviation in  $\overrightarrow{B}_{\text{helio}}$  strength from  $\overrightarrow{B}_{\text{ISM}} < 0.1\%$ , and Deviation in  $\overrightarrow{B}_{\text{helio}}$  direction from  $\overrightarrow{B}_{\text{ISM}} < 0.1^{\circ}$



9

# **Energy spectrum & composition**

Evaluate how different CR species with different energies contribute to the observed anisotropy using MC sim.

CR energy spectrum & composition based on direct measurements Chemical composition M.Shibata+, ApJ, 716, 1076 (2010)



Air shower generation and Air Shower array response simulation

Analyze MC events in the same way as experimental data



# Weight factor for each declination band (MC)



# How to derive anisotropy @ outer boundary

1) Assume a model of relative intensity @ outer boundary as:

 $I_{\rm ISM} = 1 + A_{1\parallel} \cos(\mu_2) + A_{2\parallel} \cos^2(\mu_2) + A_{1\perp} \cos(\mu_1) + I_{\rm CG}$ 

- $\mu_2$ : pitch angle  $\rightarrow \overrightarrow{B}_{\text{ISM}}$ : (R.A., Dec) = (232.5°, 19.0°)
- $\mu_1$ : angle between particle's  $\overrightarrow{p}$  and  $\overrightarrow{B}_{\text{ISM}} \times \nabla n$
- $A_{1\parallel}$ : dipole amplitude parallel to  $\overrightarrow{B}_{\rm ISM}$
- $A_{2\parallel}$ : quadrupole amplitude parallel to  $\overrightarrow{B}_{\text{ISM}}$
- $A_{1\perp}$ : dipole amplitude perpendicular to  $\overrightarrow{B}_{\rm ISM}$
- $I_{CG}$ : Compton-Getting anisotropy due to heliospheric motion relative to ISM ( $v = 23.2 \text{ km/s} \Rightarrow \text{amplitude } 0.03\%$ )

#### 2) Map $I_{ m ISM}$ to Earth

- 3) Normalize the average of mapped model intensity @ Earth to one for each decl. band
- 4) Calculate  $\chi^2$  between normalized model intensity and experimental data

Repeat 1) – 4) and obtain best-fit parameter values that minimize  $\chi^2$ 

4 free parameters:  $A_{1\parallel}, A_{2\parallel}, A_{1\perp}, \alpha_1$ 

 $(\alpha_1, \delta_1)$ : direction of  $\nabla n$  (CR density gradient perpendicular to  $\vec{B}_{\rm ISM}$ )

![](_page_12_Figure_0.jpeg)

> CR density gradient direction (G) not close to Vela

**Results: fitting by spherical harmonics** 

 $I_{\rm ISM}(\theta,\phi) = 1 + \sum_{l=1}^{l_{\rm max}} \overline{\sum_{m=-l}^{l} f_{lm} Y_{lm}(\theta,\phi)} + I_{\rm CG}$ 

L<sub>max</sub> = 24 (624 parameters) Data@Earth

![](_page_13_Figure_3.jpeg)

Model @ Boundary

360

### Model Fitting@Earth

 $\chi^2$  / ndf = 1393 / 1432 = **0.973 (76.4 %)** 

![](_page_13_Figure_5.jpeg)

0.9985 œ

Unrealistic small-scale anisotropy appears @ outer boundary

![](_page_14_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### <u>CR intensity distributions at different boundaries?</u>

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### **Observed at Earth**

![](_page_16_Figure_2.jpeg)

Effect of particle scattering with magnetic irregularities in the heliosphere ??

#### Diffusion coefficient

Moskalenko+, ApJ, 565, 280 (2002)  

$$(\beta \approx 1)$$
  
 $D = \beta D_0 \left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_0}\right)^{\delta}$ 
 $D_0 = 6.1 \times 10^{28} \text{ [cm}^2 \text{s}^{-1]}$   
 $\rho_0 = 4 \text{ [GV]}$   
 $\delta = \frac{1}{3}$ 

Mean free path

 $D = \frac{1}{3}vL \quad (v \approx c)$ 

$$L \sim 5 * 10^6$$
 AU for 7 TeV proton

Assuming  $T \sim 60$  days from boundary to Earth

$$\rightarrow$$
 dl = 1 \* 10<sup>4</sup> AU for 7 TeV proton

# $\Rightarrow \sqrt{\langle \Theta^2 \rangle} \sim 4^\circ$

#### Yasue+, Planet Space Sci. 33, 1057 (1985)

The projected angle  $\Theta$  is

defined as the angle between V and the projection of the scattered velocity on one of the planes, and has the following probability distribution:

$$\Phi(\Theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\langle\Theta^2\rangle}} \exp\left(-\frac{\Theta^2}{2\langle\Theta^2\rangle}\right), \qquad (1)$$

where  $\langle \Theta^2 \rangle$  is the mean square angle of  $\Theta$  for dl and is related with the scattering mean free path L as

$$\langle \Theta^2 \rangle = \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}l}{L}\right),$$
 (2)

in which

$$L(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{P}) = L_0 \left(\frac{\mathbf{P}}{10 \text{ GV}}\right)^2 \exp\left(\frac{\mathbf{r} - 1}{33 \text{ a.u.}}\right) (a.u.)$$

for P > 10 GV. (3)

The radial dependence of L is quoted from Fulks (1975) and its rigidity dependence is based on a theoretical consideration (Parker, 1958; Jokipii, 1971) and seems to be supported by observations in the low rigidity region ( $P \sim 10$  GV) (Fulks, 1975; Lockwood and Webber, 1979; Zusmanovich, 1981). The magnitude of  $L_0$  is set to 0.77 a.u. by the normalization at 10 GV to the one obtained in the lower rigidity region by Garcia-Munoz *et al.* (1977).

#### Results: best-fit relative intensity distributions

L<sub>max</sub> = 5 (35 parameters)

Data@Earth

Max: +2.3%

Min: -1.2%

#### Model Fitting@Earth

χ<sup>2</sup>/ndf = 2042/2021 = **1.01 (36 %)** 

![](_page_19_Figure_4.jpeg)

0.9980

-0.2%

Amplitude @ outer boundary becomes percent-level

![](_page_20_Figure_0.jpeg)

Terms with L ≥ 3 larger @ outer boundary than @ Earth intensity-mapping method needs more improvement ??

# <u>Summary</u>

Quantitative study on the origin of TeV CR anisotropy based on intensity mapping

- $\checkmark$  Rigidity distribution of observed CR particles taken into account
- ✓ Modeling @ boundary improved using spherical harmonics
  - ➡ Intensity distribution @ boundary needs L ≥ 20 terms to get reasonable  $\chi^2$
- ✓ Tentative study of scattering by magnetic irregularities in the heliosphere
  - ➡ Intensity distribution @ boundary can be expressed with L ≤ 5 terms But still terms with L ≥ 3 larger @ boundary than @ Earth

# Future prospects

- Suppress the apparent high-order terms in the power spectrum
  - Using a "snapshot" MHD model of the heliosphere may be a problem (Data covers 10 years (2000-2009) of A<0 phase of 23rd solar cycle)</p>
- Compare the results with other MHD heliosphere models

(e.g. by Washimi+ and Opher+)

Examine the observed energy dependence of anisotropy around 100 TeV

# Thank you for you attention!

![](_page_23_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_0.jpeg)

Washimi MHD model A

#### Washimi MHD model B

![](_page_24_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_0.jpeg)