Extraction of the Muon Signals Recorded with the Surface Detector of the Pierre Auger Observatory Using Recurrent Neural Networks



PIERRE AUGER OBSERVATORY Juan Miguel Carceller*

Universidad de Granada

February 2, 2022



*Now at UCL & CERN working on NOvA and DUNE

Outline

- The Pierre Auger Observatory and the Surface Detector (SD)
- Motivation: why do we want to know the muon signal?
- First work: simple neural network to predict the integral of the muon signal
- Results with LSTM, performance in simulations and comparison to data from other experiments

The Pierre Auger Observatory

Hybrid detector

- Largest detector of cosmic rays built so far
- 1660 surface detectors located in a triangular array covering 3000 km²
- The array is overlooked by 24 fluorescence telescopes
- Located near Malargüe, in the province of Mendoza in Argentina



The Surface Detector (SD)

- Measures the arrival time of secondary particles of the shower at the ground
- > These particles emit Cherenkov radiation in water that can be detected by the photomultiplier tubes
- Duty cycle $\sim 100\%$





The Surface Detector (SD)

- Measures the arrival time of secondary particles of the shower at the ground
- > These particles emit Cherenkov radiation in water that can be detected by the photomultiplier tubes

20 Signal [VEM] 15 10 6200 6400 6600 6800 7000 7200 Time [ns]



 $\blacktriangleright\,$ Duty cycle $\sim 100\%$

Why is Knowledge about Muons Important?

- Infer information about mass composition
- Study hadronic interactions
- Help to understand differences between data and simulations



Muon and Electromagnetic Components



First Work

In our first work (Astroparticle Physics 111 12 (2019)) we predict the integral of the muon signal



• One prediction for each station: S^{μ}

Neural Network



New Method

- Soon after this first work, the method was improved
- The new method is able to predict the whole temporal sequence of the muon signal instead of only the integral, JINST 16 P07016 (2021)



Extraction of the muon signals recorded with the surface detector of the Pierre Auger Observatory using recurrent neural networks



Results: Example Traces

- Objective: Predict the temporal sequence of values in the muon trace
- Predictions follow the shape of the total trace
- Predictions capture the spiky shape of the muon trace

Notation

^ for the predicted quantities $\widehat{S^{\mu}}$: integral of the predicted muon trace S^{μ} : integral of the true muon trace



Results: Example Traces II



Results: Example Traces III



How? Neural Network



- Total number of free parameters: 87212
- ▶ r, sec θ and $S_1 \dots S_{200}$ are normalized to be between 0 and 1
- Train with 25

How? Neural Network



- Better results than the previous approach
- Only two variables used, r and sec θ that depend only on the geometric part of the reconstruction
- The energy is not used

Variables

- The *zenith angle* θ . It is usual to study its secant, sec θ , because the depth of the atmosphere that a particle travels through is proportional to sec θ
- The *energy E* of the cosmic ray
- The core distance r is the distance from the shower axis to the station in the shower plane
- ► The signal S measured at each station. It is measured in Vertical Equivalent Muons or VEMs
- The azimuthal angle ζ



LSTM Layer



Performance Plots: S^{μ} and \widehat{S}^{μ}

- We compare the integral of the predicted muon trace $\widehat{S^{\mu}}$ to the integral of the true muon trace S^{μ}
- Mean around zero, standard deviation close to 2 VEM (depends heavily on the zenith angle)



Performance Plots: Correlation



Performance Plots: E



- Resolution better than 11% of the total signal S
- Lower energies have lower signals (rms of absolute difference is lower for lower signals but relative is higher)

Performance Plots: $\sec \theta$



- Resolution better than 11% of the total signal S
- Lower energies have lower signals (rms of absolute difference is lower for lower signals but relative is higher)

Performance Plots: Mean



Performance Plots: Std



21

Performance Plots: Muon Risetime

- We compare the risetime of the predicted muon trace $t_{1/2}^{\mu}$ with the risetime of the true muon trace $t_{1/2}^{\mu}$
- Mean close to 0, standard deviation less than 100 ns
- A single muon has a risetime of 15 ns and a decay constant of 60 ns



Performance Plots: Other Hadronic Models

The predictions are as good when predicting for simulations done with a different hadronic model



Predictions on Data

Two examples of traces for two stations from two events recorded by the SD



Comparing Data and Simulations: Muon Deficit

- We compare predicted muon signals (at \sim 1000 m, by only picking stations with 1000 m < r < 1200 m) in simulations and hybrid data
- > We obtain a muon deficit in simulations for vertical events for the first time
- We compare predicted muon signals in simulations and hybrid data



Comparing to Data from Other Experiments

We fit our data with parameterizations obtained from other experiments, keeping the values of the original parameters



Akeno: J. Phys. G. Nucl. Part. Phys 21 1101 (1995)

Comparing to Data from Other Experiments

- We fit our data with parameterizations obtained from other experiments, keeping the values of the original parameters
- The electromagnetic signal by subtracting from the total: $\widehat{S^{EM}} = S \widehat{S^{\mu}}$

Akeno: J. Phys. G. Nucl. Part. Phys 18 423 (1992)



Comparing to Data from Other Experiments

- We fit our data with parameterizations obtained from other experiments, keeping the values of the original parameters
- The electromagnetic signal by subtracting from the total: $\widehat{S^{EM}} = S \widehat{S^{\mu}}$

Volcano Ranch: Phys. Rev. Lett. 10 146 (1963)

$$VR(\alpha, \eta) = \frac{N}{R_0^2} C(\alpha, \eta) \left(\frac{R}{R_0}\right)^{-\alpha} \left(1 + \frac{R}{R_0}\right)^{-\eta + \alpha}$$
*wrong label in the plot, it's $E_{SD} > 10^{19.83} \text{ eV}$

$$E_{SD} > 10^{18} \text{ eV}$$

$$E_{SD} > 10^{18} \text{ eV}$$

$$E_{SD} > 10^{18} \text{ eV}$$

Data Fit

3000

2500

r [m]

Summary

- Using Neural Networks, we can predict the muon signal contained in the simulated traces
- Predictions are precise and do not depend on the hadronic model used
- Predictions show a very small bias with composition
- The lateral distributions of muons and electromagnetic signals extracted from the SD data are well reproduced by published parameterizations
- Next step: validation with data, Auger Prime

Backup

Training: Loss and Other Metrics



More Examples of Traces



Performance: as a function of S^{μ}

- Mean close to 0
- Performance improved for larger zenith angles



Comparing Data and Simulations: Muon Deficit

The average muon risetime also points towards a heavier composition than iron



First Work: Data Selection

QGSJetII-04				
		Training	Validation	Test
Primary	# of events	# of detectors		
Proton	19362	16088	4022	57522
Helium	12341	15960	3989	36740
Nitrogen	12201	16071	4017	36069
Iron	19478	16076	4018	65455
EPOS-LHC				
		Training	Validation	Test
Primary	# of events	# of detectors		
Proton	18456	_	—	78063
Iron	18779	—	—	86862

- > The trace used is the average of the traces recorded by each of the active PMTs of the station
- Stations with S > 10 VEM that don't have saturation in any of the two channels

▶
$$10^{18.5} \text{ eV} < E < 10^{20} \text{ eV} 0^{\circ} < \theta < 45^{\circ}$$

First Work: Performance: \widehat{S}^{μ} and S^{μ}

- Similar predicted and true distribution
- The mean of $\widehat{S^{\mu}} S^{\mu}$ is very close to zero, Std. Dev. ~ 2.5 VEM



First Work: Performance: Correlation

• Pearson correlation coefficient between $\widehat{S^{\mu}}$ and S^{μ} is $\rho = 0.98$



First Work: Performance: Dependence or r

- Relative bias below 10%
- Larger bias for large r = low S



First Work: Performance: Energy and zenith angle dependence

For the bias with E and sec θ is ~1 VEM for the absolute bias and below 10% for the relative bias



First Work: Performance: Prediction for EPOS-LHC

- We use simulations that the neural network has not seen and <u>also</u> done with a different hadronic model than the one used for training
- The estimation performs very similarly to the one done for QGSJetII-04
- \blacktriangleright The mean of the distribution is slightly biased towards less muons while the RMS of the distribution is still $\sim 2.5~\text{VEM}$



First Work: Performance: Prediction for EPOS-LHC

• The correlation between $\widehat{S^{\mu}}$ and S^{μ} is very high, with a Pearson correlation coefficient $\rho = 0.98$



First Work: Training

Training 25% Proton, Helium, Nitrogen and Iron (QGSJetII-04) Roughly 20000 stations of each primary



Remark: S^{μ} is not strictly given as input to the net, it is used to guide the training process Before training, the input is normalized to avoid operating with numbers that are very different in size

First Work: Genetic algorithm

- There are several free parameters that can be fined tuned to achieve better performance. For example, the number of layers of the NN or the number of neurons in each layer
- We have used genetic algorithms to find a good NN



Shower Reconstruction with the SD

Direction reconstruction

Energy reconstruction

 The direction of the cosmic ray is obtained by fitting a spheric plane to the time of arrival of particles at the stations



Energy is obtained from the lateral distribution of particles



Signal Saturation

- Signals from PMTs come from the high-gain channel and low-gain channel
- Signals big enough will saturate the high-gain channel first and then the low-gain channel



We always use signals from the high-gain channel that are not saturated

Recent Results: Mass Composition



Recent Results: Composition Fractions

