Machine Learning for High-Energy Physics Reconstruction and Analysis

Sergei V. Gleyzer







University of Alabama Workshop on ML for Cosmic Ray Air Showers Feb. 1, 2022

Outline

- Introduction
- Machine Learning in High-Energy Physics Reconstruction and Analysis – LHC/HL-LHC Applications



Machine Learning in Particle Physics

History



Machine/Learningisin/HEP is as old as the web!

Large Hadron Collider

Modern scientific wonder:

Operating since 2010

Expected to run for the next 15 years

Amazing success of an international collaboration of thousands of scientists from across the world



Higgs Discovery



Higgs Discovery



Higgs to di-photons



<u>CMS</u>

ATLAS

High-Energy Physics Today

Machine learning at the forefront of what we do:

- Physics object identification
- Event type classification
- Fast event generation
- Object properties regression









2/1/22

In Higgs Discovery



- Identification of particles
- Identification of interactions
- Energy regression
- Event selection



Improvement in analysis from all four areas

Relevant areas







Tracking



_{2/1/22} Trigger

Object Identification



Graph/ImagingyzTechniques ML for Cosmic Ray Air Showers Workshop

Fast Simulation



Event Level ID

First DNN paper in HEP

Searching for Exotic Particles in High-Energy Physics with Deep Learning

P. Baldi,¹ P. Sadowski,¹ and D. Whiteson²

¹Dept. of Computer Science, UC Irvine, Irvine, CA 92617 ²Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, UC Irvine, Irvine, CA 92617

Collisions at high-energy particle colliders are a traditionally fruitful source of exotic particle discoveries. Finding these rare particles requires solving difficult signal-versus-background classification problems, hence machine learning approaches are often used. Standard approaches have relied on 'shallow' machine learning models that have a limited capacity to learn complex non-linear functions of the inputs, and rely on a pain-staking search through manually constructed non-linear features. Progress on this problem has slowed, as a variety of techniques have shown equivalent performance. Recent advances in the field of deep learning make it possible to learn more complex functions and better discriminate between signal and background classes. Using benchmark datasets, we show that deep learning methods need no manually constructed inputs and yet improve the classification metric by as much as 8% over the best current approaches. This demonstrates that deep learning approaches can improve the power of collider searches for exotic particles.

Baldi, Sadowski, & Whiteson, 2014



Feature Extraction



Feature Extraction

- Stransverse mass M_{T2}

SUSY high-level features

- Razor quantities β , R, and M_R
- Super-razor quantities β_{R+1} , $\cos(\theta_{R+1})$, $\Delta \phi_R^{\beta}$, \mathbf{M}_{Δ}^R , \mathbf{M}_R^T , and $\sqrt{\hat{s}_R}$



SUSY Classification

Jet Images

With convolutional neural networks



Oliveira et al., JHEP 07 (2016) 069

2/1/22

Key Question

Can we fully exploit the detectors? low-level data + modern deep learning

A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A
and the second s
3 3 3 3 4 4 4
22208822
000000000000000000000000000000000000000
20000 - 0000000000000000000000000000000
000000000000000000000000000000000000000
000000000000000000000000000000000000000
2000 percent
37 6
R TIT IN A S
P KA M

Raw	Sparsified	Reco	Select	Ana
1e7	1e3	100	50	1
				A server

Andrews et al 2018, 2020

End-end learning

CMS Detector and Particle ID



2/1/22

End-to-End Learning

By-passing traditional reconstruction with deep neural networks



End-to-End Jets

2/1/22

Sergei Gleyzer

ML for Cosmic Ray Air Showers Workshop

Jet ID | quark vs gluon

	ROC AUC
E2E image, ECAL+HCAL+Tracks	0.8077 ± 0.0003*
RecNN, ascending-pT	$0.8017 \pm 0.0003^{*}$
RecNN, descending-pT	0.802
RecNN, anti-kT	0.801
RecNN, Cambridge/Aachen	0.801
RecNN, no rotation/reclustering	0.800
RecNN, kT	0.800
RecNN, k _T -colinear10-max	0.799
RecNN, random	0.797
Traditional Jet Images	0.721

RecNN Results, Jet ID

- Use 4-momenta derived from CMS Particle Flow
- Perform boost/rotation, then reclustering with different algos
- E2E jet images perform well

Andrews et al. 2020

End-to-End Top ID

Block	Layer Type	Extra Parameters
Input Node	Conv 2D	filter size 7, stride 2, 16 channels
	Global Pool 2D	2×2 pool size
Resblock 1	Conv 2D	filter size 3, stride 1, 16 channels
	Conv 2D	filter size 3, stride 1, 16 channels
Resblock 2	Conv 2D	filter size 3, stride 2, 32 channels
	Conv 2D	filter size 3, stride 1, 32 channels
Resblock 3	Conv 2D	filter size 3, stride 1, 32 channels
	Conv 2D	filter size 3, stride 1, 32 channels
Output Node	Max Pooling 2D	global pool size
	Dense	size 32×2
	Activation	Sigmoid

Layer Combinations	ROC-AUC
BPIX1-3	0.947 ± 0.002
BPIX1–3 + Track $p_{\rm T}$	0.965 ± 0.002
BPIX1-3 + ECAL + HCAL (no reconstructed variables)	0.975 ± 0.002
BPIX1–3 + Track $p_{\rm T}$ + $d0$ + dZ	$0.977 {\pm} 0.002$
BPIX1–3 + Track p_T + $d0$ + dZ + ECAL + HCAL (full image)	0.9824±0.0013

Andrews et al. (2021)

Upcoming Challenges

Data size:

- LHC **15,000,000 Tb** 2010 2035
- Resources not up as fast as data volumes

Unknown

Physics

Atoms 4.9%

Dark energy 68.3%

Dark matter 26.8%

Distance

B

Pile-Up Collisions

Going Beyond Classification

Generative Models

Simulation GANs

Dataset: 5°; Net: soft sparsity, multiplied E, Conv. attn. and layers
CaloGAN

Paganini et al. (2017)

2/1/22

Sergei Gleyzer

ML for Cosmic Ray Air Showers
Workshop

CWGANs

2/1/22

Sergei Gleyzer

ML for Cosmic Ray Air Showers Workshop

Graph Neural Networks

GNNs for Simulation

Hariri et al., 2104.01725

2/1/22

Anomaly Detection (DQM)

A. Pol et al. (2018)

2/1/22

Machine Learning Trigger

ML at Level-1 Trigger

FPGAs and Deep Learning

Auto-Encoders

Search for New Physics

Train on Standard Model processes New physics as an anomaly

VAEs

Key Ideas for HL-LHC

Deep Combination of Spatio-Temporal Data

Graph and End-End Representation Learning

Tensor decomposition (towards trigger)

Compositionality, causal modeling (inference)

Unsupervised learning and physics

Open Questions

How to quantify uncertainty

First principles models

Model interpretability

What features are learned

AAAS2021 Session on Artificial Intelligence for Physics: Experimental and Theoretical Perspectives

- Tuesday 9 Feb 2021, 08:30 → 16:00 US/Central
- 🚹 Meenakshi Narain (Brown University (US)) , Sergei Gleyzer (University of Alabama (US))
 - Description This session focuses on the latest breakthroughs and ideas from artificial intelligence which are transforming the field of particle physics, providing attendees the audience with both theoretical and experimental perspectives on the ongoing transformation. Topics to be discussed include the influence of artificial intelligence on event and particle reconstruction in particle detectors; theoretical model building and optimization, large scale simulations and theoretical predictions, physics-inspired machine learning algorithms and realtime AI for detection of exotic physics signals.
 - https://aaas.confex.com/aaas/2021/meetingapp.cgi/Session/27471

The session consists of prerecorded videos by speakers and respondents on specific topics followed by a live moderated panel discussion. Session participants include: Prof. Sergei Gleyzer (Alabama), Prof. Meenakshi Narain (Brown), Prof. Jesse Thaler (MIT), Prof. Daniel Whiteson (UCI), Prof. Harrison Prosper (FSU), Prof. Risi Kondor (UChicago/Flatiron), Prof. Rose Yu (UCSD), Prof. Taritree Wongjirad(Tufts) and Dr. Savannah Thais (Princeton).

https://indico.cern.ch/event/1031957/

Summary

- We are taking steps towards answering fundamental questions across all frontiers
- Will require progress to extract all the knowledge we seek from the data at the HEP experiments
- Advanced Deep Learning is a powerful tool to help us achieve these goals for reconstruction, simulation and realtime applications

THANK YOU

