

AIR SHOWER RECONSTRUCTION USING A GRAPH NEURAL NETWORK FOR THE ICEACT TELESCOPES

Larissa Paul^a

on behalf of the IceCube Collaboration,

with associated members: Thomas Bretz^b, John W. Hewitt^c, Adrian Zink^d Workshop on Machine Learning for Cosmic-Ray Air Showers 2022

[a]Marquette University, Milwaukee,WI USA [b]RWTH Aachen University Aachen, Germany [c]University of North Florida, Jacksonville,FL USA [d]Erlangen Centre for Astroparticle Physics, Erlangen, Germany



Outline:

- Introduction into IceCube and IceAct
- Description of the used data set
- Introduction into Graph Neural Networks
- Event reconstruction graph neural network
- Summary and outlook

The IceAct telescopes:

- Cherenkov telescope with 61 pixel SiPM camera, small and robust
- 2 IceAct telescopes taking data since 2019
- combine with particle footprint on ground level and inice muon reconstruction:
 - cross-checks of geometry and energy reconstruction for the different detector components

Power/Coms.

220 m

hybrid composition studies



0

Ο

MC simulation data set:

At each position 7 telescope are simulated in a station configuration: 1 pointing straight up, the 6 surrounding telescopes are tilted 13 degree.

Standard simulation data set:

- E^{**}-1, 3TeV-1PeV, 0-20 zenith,
- round array with increasing radius:
 - $3.5 \le log10(E) \le 4$ => r = 250m;
 - log10(E) > 4 => dlog10(E)=0.25 => dr = 50m
- 110k events for proton and iron
- 100k events for photon, helium, aluminum, oxygen
- 20k events for neon

Smaller lower energy simulation data set:

- E^{**}-1, 3-100TeV, 0-20 zenith,
- round array increasing radius (see above)
- 50k events for proton, iron, photon, helium, aluminum oxygen
- => For the further analysis both data sets have been merged and each telescope event is treated as single event.



Larissa Paul



MC simulation data set:

- GHMAX cuts events with nonphysical shower maximum values in the CORSIKA file
- Image cleaning keeps pulse if they are:
 - Above 22mV _
 - Between 14mV-22mV if they are next to two pixel with pulses above 22mV
- Containment keeps events if the sum of the inner pixels heights is 4 times larger than the sum of the outer pixel heights
- => Input and Output parameters are normalized before they are used in the gnn





0.2

cos(zenith

01

17500

15000

12500

10000

7500

5000

25.00

Tilted telescopes (used for the RFT talk):

Full stats



Larissa Paul

Introduction into graph neural network (gnn)

- Simple Graph Neural Network using Spektral package
- A Graph consists of nodes, each node has features and connections to other nodes
- For each event the number of nodes can be different
- The connection between nodes is defined in an adjacency matrix
- Hidden layers are matrix convolutions of the graphs and the adjacency matrix
- The normalization of the matrix differs depending on the chosen convolutional layer



event reconstruction: graph neural network (gnn)



- 61 nodes = 61 pixel
- each node has 4 layers:
 - pixel x position
 - pixel y position
 - peak height
 - peak time



<u>Adjacency matrix</u> : each pixels knows itself and its neighbors

Edges between nodes: same connection between all pixels



Model: 2 GatedGraphConv. Layer 1 GlobalSumPool Layer 3 Dense Layer

MARQUETTE UNIVERSITY

event reconstruction: graph neural network (gnn)

Loss function and validation loss of the used graph neural network

- Loss functions are used to evaluate the performance of the network
- Used for optimizing this network is the mean square error (mse) function
- In addition to that the mean square logarithmic error (msle) is also used as metric to evaluate the network
- In contrast to the loss function the metric is not used during the training of the loss function



JETTE

Reconstruction results:

- For Cherenkov telescopes there is an ambiguity between nearby low energetic air showers and more distant higher energetic air showers
- Therefore this first results look very promising for a single telescope reconstruction
- Adding further information of the other detector components and simultaneous detection of several telescope should improve these results



Reconstruction results:

- For Cherenkov telescopes there is an ambiguity between nearby low energetic air showers and more distant higher energetic air showers
- Therefore this first results look very promising for a single telescope reconstruction
- Adding further information of the other detector components and simultaneous detection of several telescope should improve these results

Shower direction Shower direction 60 Mean: 0.8° +/-0.6 direction and IceCube work in progress 68Percentile: 0.9 events 800 50 Distance between true IceCube work in progress 40 600 shower of 30 ** Number 5 700 700 6 20 reconstruct 0 4.0 5.0 0 3.5 45 Distance between true and $log_{10}(\frac{E_{reco}}{GeV})$ reconstructed shower direction [°]



angle between true and reconstructed shower direction

Summary and outlook

- IceAct telescope measure the el.mag. air shower component independently
- With just a few simple cuts a gnn was successfully implemented
- Station trigger needs to be implemented to make use of the full station and to reconstruct events seen in more than one telescope.
- Further improvement in reconstructions anticipated by implementing:
 - Test different normalizations of the input or/and output parameters
 - Simultaneous reconstruction of events seen in more than one station
 - Including additional parameter like reconstruction results from IceTop and IceCube
- Increase the MC statistic and the energy range of the MC