## IceCube-Gen2 Dust Logger

Ben Jones, Segev BenZvi, Martin Röngen, Delia Tosi, Summer Blot, Dawn Williams **Gen2 Calibration Workshop: Apr. 8, 2021** 

### The "old" dustlogger

Single optical detector separated from bright, fan-beam laser light source by 84 cm.

The only way to provide IceCube's tilt map - a centrally important part of optical array calibration.

Beam projected a flat, 60 degree fan - originally a compromise solution due to difficult mechanics of more ideal 360 window.

However, the compromise ultimately enabled new classes of measurements of scattering anisotropy.





### Dustlogger in IceCube



After depth matching, the optical signal from 8 dust-logged holes in IceCube-Gen1.



IceCube-Gen2 Calibration Workshop - April 8

### Tilt as fitted / extrapolated





IceCube-Gen2 Calibration Workshop - April 8

### As implemented in the ice model



Raw data processed and used to extract tilt magnitude.

BJ and SB do not have experience with the data reduction, but it is nontrivial. Depth/pressure analysis was done by Ryan Bay in past deployments.

NB: We are not sure if anyone within IceCube has either the raw data or code to do this.



## Flasher study to gauge the effect (all of this is well known, but a good reminder)



- Primary effect is on cascade energy resolution
- Use flashers (horizontal LEDs) to mimic cascades
- Run full detector ice model (BFRv2) evaluation with and without tilt, the flasher photon yield (cascade photon number) being left to float as nuisance parameter to match data
- The relative photon yield per DOM is the relative energy error introduced by not taking into account the simulated tilt correction at each DOM position

### Flasher study to gauge the effect (all of this is well known, but a good reminder)



 Plot looks messy as tilt does not consistently shift into better/worse ice
→ plot vs. ice quality change

2400 1.6 rield ut tilt without 2250 1.4 2100-1950 H quired with 1800 7 1.0Relative r Simulatior .0 80 1650 1500 0.6<u>∟</u> \_30 -20 - 1010 20 30 40 50 Current tilt correction [m]

### Still messy, $\begin{bmatrix} 1.4 \\ +5 \\ +5 \\ +1.2 \end{bmatrix}$ but correlation as expected $\begin{bmatrix} 1.4 \\ +5 \\ +5 \\ +1.2 \end{bmatrix}$

• Gen1 level tilt introduces up to ~50% energy bias when not handled (correctly)

## Flasher study to gauge the effect (all of this is well known, but a good reminder)







# Reclaiming the Knowledge of the Ancients



The raw data used to make IceCube's existing tilt map is not presently available to us, or to the community.

This is a hole that we think should probably be filled.

**Proposal:** produce a retrospective data release, providing minimally processed raw dust logger data and scripts to make a tilt map.

Finding / running old code may be challenging, though going from old data to a new tilt map seems achievable.

We hope the original raw data can be found.

Original tilt map is available for validation.



#### What to expect in Gen2



Favored geometry extends the array primarily **in the tilt direction**.



### What to do in Gen2 -Basic Goal



The truly non-negotiable output the dust logger must produce is the **extended tilt map**. Needs:

- Bright, **collimated** beam.
- **Sensitivity+run-time** for photon statistics.
- Vertical precision sufficient to place layers within ~1 m absolute.

Achievable with a device like Gen-1 logger, but:

- Require **updates** to enhance mechanics / electronics to modern standards.
- At the moment Gen2-Phase2 plan includes 2 logged holes. Some **study of whether this is enough** is probably needed.



### What to do in Gen2 -Extending dust logger science

- There are ways to expand the dust logger science program.
- A great example is the work by Martin, Ryan, Summer on directly probing anisotropy with an oriented logger.
- Certainly it would make sense to ensure the future dust-logger has orientable capability.
- But there also potential to do more.





#### What to do in Gen2 -Upgrading the logger design



Intensity monitoring of the output beam

- No absolute calibration of present dust log.
- Laser driver power was manually adjusted to avoid saturating the optical detector.
- This is fine for tilt map; where only vertical peak positions needed.
- Absolute calibration **is** possible if we monitor the light with a pickoff probe and calibrate across temperatures.
- ~Simplest possible improvement to the logger, and easy to implement.



#### What to do in gen2 -New ideas



<u>We can get cleverer too.</u> Plan to execute simulations and R&D to study both viability and benefit of the following extended dust logger capabilities

- **Monitoring polarization / depolarization** of returned light to obtain ice fabric information in parallel to tilt map
  - MC needed to assess if this gives useable information studies are planned.
- Multi-colored logger to pursue spectral studies not advanced since AMANDA
  - Past attempt to use a green logger in addition to blue laser failed due to laser temperature dependence. Local R&D on light sources and detectors in cold conditions planned at UTA / Rochester to explore this further.
- **Pulsed operation and timing** information
  - Plausible to pulse the beam and monitor timing to study scattering profile.
  - We suspect returned light timing may carry only limited information; **needs study**.

### Pragmatic Next Steps (and Questions)



- Obtain as much original information on Gen-1 dust logger as possible
  - Does anyone in IceCube have the drawings, designs, circuit schematics, code, etc? Can we get it?
  - How about original raw data? code?
- Study 2-hole tilt mapping capability for Gen2-Phase2 to define specs for baseline dust logger
  - New UTA student recruited, who will to begin this work in August
- Begin bench R&D on direct intensity monitoring including in cold conditions for absolute calibration of dust logs for new holes.
  - We are prepared to commit to implementing this feature in the Gen2-Phase2 logger
- Begin MC simulations of potential advanced dust logger capabilities.
  - It is likely that at least one of the proposed directions will yield valuable information but which one should be the focus, given limited money, data taking time, and real-estate? Simulations are needed.