# Simulations and Optimizations for Radio Neutrino Detectors

Ben Hokanson-Fasig

IceCube Collaboration Meeting Radio Pre-Meeting April 28, 2019



## Simulation Development

- Radio simulation working group: InIceMC
  - ~15 members from the ARA and ARIANNA experiments
  - Foster collaboration and develop/maintain simulation tools
- Two new radio simulation packages developed as modern replacements for existing tools
  - PyREx <a href="https://github.com/bhokansonfasig/pyrex">https://github.com/bhokansonfasig/pyrex</a>
  - NuRadioMC <u>https://github.com/nu-radio/NuRadioMC</u>  $\bullet$
- New packages offer improvements in usability and physics accuracy
  - Flexible, modular implementations in Python
  - Updated Askaryan radiation models, antenna models,  $\bullet$ and signal propagation code





### Simulation Features

- Neutrino event generation uniform in vertex position and shower direction, with weighting factors for Earth absorption and forced interaction
- Askaryan signal generation based on the latest models
  Signal propagation (ray tracing) from the interaction verter
- Signal propagation (ray tracing) from the interaction vertex to antenna locations
- Ice model with an exponential index of refraction profile and frequency-dependent attenuation length
- Flexible detector simulation with interfaces for a variety of antenna models, with antennas used by ARA and ARIANNA specifically implemented
- Simulation "steering" responsible for controlling the simulation chain

### "4 Pillars of Monte Carlo"





# RNO Station Design

- Array of LPDAs deployed at or just below the surface, allowing unambiguous detection of nearby signals
  - Some LPDAs directed upward to reject cosmic ray signals
- Phased array string of bicone antennas deployed in the ice at some depth
  - Employs interferometry between the antennas to achieve low trigger thresholds
- Wider array of bicone and quad-slot antennas surrounding the phased array string to improve reconstruction of distant events
- Trigger on the phased array and the surface LPDAs



Quad-Slot









### **RNO Station Candidates to Compare**

- A. Surface LPDAs only
- B. Surface LPDAs + 15m Phased Array
- C. Surface LPDAs + 50m Phased Array + 60m Vpol/Hpol Array
- D. Surface LPDAs + 85m Phased Array+ 100m Vpol/Hpol Array
- E. Surface LPDAs + 175m Phased Array + 200m Vpol/Hpol Array







### Effective Volumes of Station Varieties



- Trigger-level effective volumes improve as the sub-surface portion of the station is moved deeper
- Dominated by contributions from the phased array trigger
- At low energies, effective volumes from the phased array trigger are similar
- At high energies, effective volumes from the phased array trigger increase proportionally to the increased visible ice volume





Analysis Proxy: 3-Antenna Coincidences



- Trigger-level effective volumes are informative, but analysis-level effective volumes will ultimately be more important
- Hard to tell the analysis cuts ahead of time, • but we know that we will need at least 3 antennas with reasonably strong signals for a quality reconstruction of the event vertex
- Plot shows approximated analysis • efficiencies for each station design, calculated as the probability for events which trigger the phased array at  $1.5\sigma$  to also have 3 other antennas with signals above 3o





### Relative Effective Volumes

- For easier comparison of station varieties, can consider effective volumes (at trigger level) at a couple key energies:
  - 1e16.5 eV, where the RNO goal is to overlap the IceCube sensitivity
  - 1e18.0 eV, where the RNO goal is to have the world's best sensitivity
- Data for each energy is normalized separately here by the value for the 60 m station to show relative effective volumes







### **Double Pulse Event Fractions**

- the detector





## Surface Coincident Event Fractions

- to be highly analyzable
- the reconstruction capabilities of the detector



Ben Hokanson-Fasig | Radio Pre-Meeting | April 28, 2019

# Sky Coverage

- important for multi-messenger astronomy
- 1% of the maximum exposure



11

# Final RNO Design Decision

- Balancing of all factors led to the decision to propose the surface + 60 m station Consider deployment to 100 m if maximizing effective volume per station becomes the main
- driving design factor



Ben Hokanson-Fasig | Radio Pre-Meeting | April 28, 2019





### Conclusions

- Simulation packages are ready and available for optimizing radio neutrino detectors
- RNO optimization pointed to stations with  $\bullet$ surface antennas and deep antennas down to 60 meter depth
- Parameter space for the optimization was limited to a fairly specific detector layout with surface antennas, a phased array, and outrigger strings
- More exotic detector designs may lead to ulletdifferent conclusions on the optimal deployment depth











Backups



### RNO Goals

- therefore a high neutrino effective volume per station.
- surface and a deep component of a single station.
- View a large fraction of the sky to enhance multi-messenger observations. 4.
- 5. neutrino events and minimizing backgrounds.

1. Achieve sensitivity to neutrinos across a broad range of energies to target both astrophysical and cosmogenic neutrino fluxes, which is best achieved with low trigger thresholds.

2. Achieve high livetimes critical for multi-messenger observations and improved sensitivity to diffuse neutrino fluxes. This requires powering the observatory using a power grid, rather than an autonomous power design, which in turn requires a minimal number of stations and

3. Provide high-quality energy and direction reconstruction of each neutrino event for multimessenger studies, which is best achieved by comprehensive observations with both a

Enhance discovery potential by achieving a high reconstruction efficiency of triggered





### Available Antennas

- ARA Bicone (Vpol) antennas
  - Minimally dispersive and sensitive to vertically polarized signals
  - Azimuthally symmetric
  - Narrow diameter (~6 inches) allowing down-hole deployment
- ARA Quad-Slot (Hpol) antennas
  - Sensitive to horizontally polarized signals in x and y
  - (Nearly) azimuthally symmetric
  - Narrow diameter (~6 inches) allowing down-hole deployment
- **ARIANNA LPDA antennas** 
  - Highly sensitive to signals in a single plane, though dispersive
  - Too large to be realistically deployed more than a couple meters deep





# Phased Array Interferometry





### Effective Volume Components



Ben Hokanson-Fasig | Radio Pre-Meeting | April 28, 2019





### 3-Antenna Coincidences







### "Science Factor" Table

- Using approximate analysis-level effective volumes, we can produce a table that will summarize the relative effectiveness of each station
- To calculate the science factor, take the average of the approximate analysis-level effective volume for the two key energies (1e16.5 eV and 1e18 eV)
  - Analysis-level effective volumes calculated by multiplying the trigger-level effective volumes by the probability of meeting the 3@3σ condition shown on the previous slide
- The science factor between two station designs A and B should tell you approximately how many of station B need to be built to detect the same number of neutrinos as a single station A





### "Science Factor" Table

	Surface Only	Surface + 15m PA	Surface + 60m Station	Surface + 100m Station	Surface + 200m Station
Surface Only	1	2.00	4.54	6.18	7.21
Surface + 15m PA	0.50	1	2.27	3.09	3.61
Surface + 60m Station	0.22	0.44	1	1.31	1.64
Surface + 100m Station	0.16	0.32	0.76	1	1.13
Surface + 200m Station	0.14	0.28	0.61	0.88	1

Ben Hokanson-Fasig | Radio Pre-Meeting | April 28, 2019



# Ray Bending in Shallow Ice

- source in the ice to an antenna
- position to each antenna
- signals cannot reach the antenna



Rapidly changing index of refraction of the shallow ice results in curved paths from a signal

• The curved paths and reflections off the surface allow for two possible paths from a source

• The curved paths also lead to a limit in the field of view of each antenna, beyond which source





### Effective Volumes

- For easier comparison, can consider effective volumes (at trigger level) at a couple key energies:
  - 1e16.5 eV, where the RNO goal is to overlap the IceCube sensitivity
  - 1e18 eV, where the RNO goal is to have the world's best sensitivity
- Effective volumes shown here on separate linear axes to show the behavior of both energies together



12.5 10.0

### **Double Pulse Event Fractions**

- the detector





## Surface Coincident Event Fractions

- to be highly analyzable
- the reconstruction capabilities of the detector





# Sky Coverage

- important for multi-messenger astronomy
- 1% of the maximum exposure







### Direct + Reflected Event Fraction Method

- Simulate neutrino events with a fixed energy uniform in event vertex and direction, traveling to antenna at a given depth
  - 2 m deep antenna for surface-only, phased array depth for other stations
  - Antennas used were ARA bicones with a simplified front-end, producing a noise rms ( $\sigma$ ) of 9.86 microvolts
- DnR event requires both direct and reflected signals above  $1.5\sigma$  and arrival time difference larger than **5 ns**
- Trigger condition requires a single signal above 1.5σ
- Event fraction is the ratio of DnR events to triggered events
- To calculate effective volume of DnR events, multiply each event fraction by the corresponding effective volume







### **Double Pulse Event Fractions**

- above **1.5** $\sigma$  given a station trigger (phased array at 1.5 $\sigma$ )
- surface-only station)



Ben Hokanson-Fasig | Radio Pre-Meeting | April 28, 2019

• Explore the probability of an antenna at some depth receiving direct and reflected signals

• DnR event fraction will be the point on each curve at the phased array (or at the surface for





## Surface-Coincident Event Fraction Method

- Simulate neutrino events with a fixed energy uniform in event vertex and direction, traveling to antennas at representative depths
  - 2 m deep antenna for surface + antenna at phased array depth
  - Antennas used were ARA bicones with a simplified front-end, producing a noise rms (σ) of 9.86 microvolts
- Surface-coincident event requires triggering the phased array antenna at 1.5σ and a signal in the surface antenna at 1.5σ
  - For surface-only station, trigger requirement in the surface antenna rather than phased array
- Trigger condition requires a signal in the phased array antenna above  $1.5\sigma$
- Event fraction is the ratio of surface-coincident events to triggered events
- To calculate effective volume of surface-coincident events, multiply each event fraction by the corresponding effective volume





## Surface-Coincident Event Fractions

- station trigger (phased array at  $1.5\sigma$ )
- Surface coincidence probability will be the value of the leftmost point on each curve



Ben Hokanson-Fasig | Radio Pre-Meeting | April 28, 2019

• Explore the probability of an antenna at some depth receiving a signal above **1.5σ** given a





# Sky Coverage Fraction Method

- Simulate neutrino events with a fixed energy uniform in event vertex and direction, traveling to antennas at representative depths
  - 2 m deep antenna for surface + antenna at phased array depth
  - Antennas used were ARA bicones with a simplified front-end, producing a noise rms ( $\sigma$ ) of 9.86 microvolts
- Trigger condition requires a signal in the phased array antenna above  $1.5\sigma$
- Determine the minimum and maximum zenith angles which achieve 95% containment of the events (i.e. 2.5% above the maximum and 2.5% below the minimum)
  - Convert this zenith angle range into the visible solid angle of sky (assuming full azimuthal visibility)
  - Sky coverage fraction is the visible solid angle divided by  $4\pi$







## Sky Coverage Calculation Zenith Distributions





## Sky Coverage Calculation Zenith Distributions





# Summary of Design Studies



Sky Coverage Fraction



Ben Hokanson-Fasig | Radio Pre-Meeting | April 28, 2019





Design studies performed using PyREx version 1.8.1



# Summary of Design Studies



Sky Coverage Fraction 1e16.5 eV 0.5 1e18.0 eV 0.4 0.3 ulated 0.2 alc 0.1 Not 0.0 Surface 15 m 60 m 100 m 200 m

Ben Hokanson-Fasig | Radio Pre-Meeting | April 28, 2019



Design studies performed using PyREx version 1.8.1





# Station Spacing

- while minimizing non-visible regions
- Event fraction seen by multiple stations is being explored



Visible Ice Overlap Between Adjacent Stations

Ben Hokanson-Fasig | Radio Pre-Meeting | April 28, 2019

### • A station spacing of around 1.25 km provides good ice coverage, reaching as far as possible





# **RNO Expected Sensitivity**







### Detector Geometries - Previous Study

- string-grid geometries (like IceCube)
- Kept the number of strings and number of antennas constant between geometries
- The tested variations have 512 antennas, 64 strings, 8 antennas per string 10 m apart from -25 to -95 m
- Trigger condition requires 4 antenna triggers across 3 strings
- In the string grids the strings are spaced 250 and 500 m apart
- In the station ("cluster") geometries there are 4 strings per station with a diameter of 50 m, with stations spaced 500 and 1000 m apart

Ben Hokanson-Fasig | Radio Pre-Meeting | April 28, 2019

• Explored the effective areas to compare the efficiencies of station geometries (like ARA) to



### Detector Geometries - Previous Study

- string-grid geometries (like IceCube)
- Kept the number of strings and number of antennas constant between geometries
- Grid geometries lose effective volume at low energies
- Station geometries have better low-energy effective areas due to the close spacing within the stations
- High-energy effective areas scale with the instrumented area

• Explored the effective areas to compare the efficiencies of station geometries (like ARA) to

