



Marek Kowalski Madison 04/28/2019



# Science: sources of high-energy neutrinos





- So far only 1-2 EHE nu\_mu counter parts identified
- ~90% of the IceCube flux still unidentified!

T. Gauch, GeV sky-map around IC190331A https://gcn.gsfc.nasa.gov/gcn3/24028.gcn3

### Science: sources of high-energy neutrinos

#### **Requirements for Gen2**



- So far only 1-2 EHE nu\_mu counter parts identified
- ~90% of the IceCube flux still unidentified.
- We need (at least) 5 x IC sensitivity for all populations

decadal survey white paper arXiv:1903.04334







What are the hidden sources?  $\Rightarrow$ Large sensitivity at 100 TeV









Extrapolate IC flux. sources of the highest energy CRs, GZK,  $\Rightarrow$  10-9 flux sensitivity @ ~EeV





#### A multi-component facility



### **Gen2 optical array**

#### **Reference detector:**

- 120 strings, 100 sensors each
- vertical depth range: 1350-2600 m
- 240 m string spacing
- 1.25 (height) x 4 (spacing) x 1.5 (#strings) ⇒ 8 more volume
- With fiducial cuts (e.g. HESE) the gain is even larger



x [km]



# **Gen2 optical array**

**Testing it with IceCube** 





#### **Spectra from the Optical Array**





#### **Spectra from the Optical Array**





#### **Spectra from the Optical Array**





#### **Flavor Physics - Energy Dependence**





DESY. | IceCube-Gen2 | Marek Kowalski | Madison 2019

### **Sensitivity to point sources**

#### **Projected sensitivity**

- Improved angular resolution
- Better point sensitivity, here shown for 15 y IC86 + 15 y IC-Gen2





# **Sensitivity to point sources**

#### Gains due to new technology

- multi-pixel Optical sensor
- ~30% better angular resolution for horizontal events for same cathode area





**Thomas Kittler, Stockholm 2018** 

#### factor of 5 sensitivity increase for a detector with 100 mDOMs / string

### **Gen2 radio array**

**Using RNOx5 as proxy** 

- RNO currently best guess for station design for a first generation array
- Assumption for Gen2Radio: RNO sensitivity x5
- This may be achieved via different routes (deeper stations, more lightweight, more aggressive triggering, ...), but we need additional experimental evidence to justify an adaptation of the detector design
- For now: simple scaling of RNO design as is



### **Gen2 radio array**

**Using RNOx5 as proxy** 

- RNO currently best guess for station design for a first generation array
- Assumption for Gen2Radio: RNO sensitivity x5
- This may be achieved via different routes (deeper stations, more lightweight, more aggressive triggering, ...), but we need additional experimental evidence to justify an adaptation of the detector design
- For now: simple scaling of RNO design as is



#### **Effective Volumes**



Caveats:

- v<sub>τ</sub> area approximated as proportional to the v<sub>e</sub> area (true at high energies)
- v<sub>μ</sub> area given by the framework multiplied by 3 (radio is sensitive to showers, not muons)
- Triggering is simplified

Daniel Garcia Fernandez (April Gen2 call)

#### **Spectral measurement and event rates**



Computed by Daniel Garcia Fernandez & Jakob van Santen

### **Hybrid events**



#### Daniel Garcia Fernandez (April Gen2 call)

#### **Extended surface veto**





~2x number of PeV tracks

# Surface technology under consideration

Being tested at the South Pole as part of IceCube maintence program

Scintillator panels

Air Cherenkov Telescopes

#### Surface radio antennas







- Easy deployment
- Low cost (cheap materials and SiPMs)

- Reduced energy threshold
- Add resolution, particle ID,...

- Cheap
- Complementary sensitivity to inclined showers,...



#### **Extendended surface array**



#### **Baseline:**

a surface scintillators detector on the footprint of Gen2 for CR physics (reaching ~10 higher energies), as well as other goals (veto, photon searchs, etc)



Fig assumes 75km2 instrumented area and assuming 100 TeV neutrino veto threshold. This would require very dense instrumentation and logistics support (\$\$\$)

#### The IceCube Upgrade / Gen2 Phase I The next step in precision astroparticle physics with IceCube ICECI IBE 1000m IceCube DeepCore IC Upgrade 17m 7m 100m 2.4m 7 strings with ~20 m spacing 2 m vertical spacing of 125 modules / string 1450m 2140m 2100m

Located inside of IceCube-DeepCore

2440m

2450m

2450m

Instrumented Depth

#### **Project-driven IceCube-Gen2 Timeline** 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024 2025 ... 2031 IceCube Deployment Upgrade **RNO** Deployment R&D Design Production Deployment today

#### For this summer:

Gen2 white paper (extended), work towards CDR, upgrade organization

# Backup



# Simplified logistics & better performance

#### Simplified logistics:

- Equipment and fuel delivered to Pole via single traverse instead of air
- Reduced logistical footprint at Pole; smaller crew

#### Improved performance:

- New sensors allow for narrower holes  $\Rightarrow$  large fuel savings
- Faster drilling
- Degassed holes, less scattering



#### Identifying the sources of IceCube's neutrinos





# Five times IceCube's point source sensitivity required to detect any reasonable source scenario

\*Sensitivity for source catalog search

## New sensor designs for improved performance





- Directional information
- More sensitive area per module
- Directional information
- More sensitive area per module
- Smaller geometry

- more sensitive area per \$
- Small diameter
- Lower noise rate



- Small diameter
- Directional info.
- More area per module



- Seven new strings of multi-PMT mDOMs in the DeepCore region
  - Inter-string spacing of ~22 m
- New calibration devices, incorporating lessons
  learned from a decade of
  IceCube calibration efforts
- Enhance IceCube's scientific of capabilities at both high and low energy



### **Science driver in a nutshell**





i.e. above 10-100 TeV where IceCube sees cosmic neutrinos.  $\Rightarrow$  explore this mostly uncharted territory with IceCube-Gen2