TeV Anisotropy with the HAWC Detector and Cosmic Ray Propagation Simulations





CRA2017, Guadalajara, México





The HAWC Observatory







- Air-shower array near Puebla, Mexico
- ^{2nd} gen. wide FOV (~2sr) cosmic-ray & γ-ray observatory
- Builds on the success of the Milagro experiment



High Altitude Water Cherenkov





- On plateau between Pico de Orizaba and Sierra Negra
- 4,100 m a.s.l. (13,500 ft)



High Altitude Water Cherenkov





High Altitude Water Cherenkov



Air Showers in HAWC



Primary particle:

p, He⁺²...



-From simulation for CR-Angular Resolution: 0.5° Core Resolution: 15 m

Energy Estimation





- Built **lateral** distribution tables of MC **proton** shower hit patterns for range of simulated:
 - Energies
 - Arrival directions

Tables encode average shower footprint



Energy Estimation









Reconstructed Energy, E_{reco}

100 TeV

10 TeV

1 PeV



 $\sim \frac{1}{2}$ the array contains signal around 10 TeV

Nearly all PMTs hit by 100 TeV

Energy resolution ~ 0.1 in log E

Energy scale verified by observation of cosmic-ray Moon shadow*



All-Particle Energy Spectrum



Comparison to Other Experiments





ARGO-YBJ: Frascati Physics Series Vol. **58** (2014) ARGO: Phys. Rev. D, **88**, 10 (2013) ATIC: Bull. Russian Acad. Sci., **73**, 564 (2009) CREAM: Astrophys. J., **728**, 122 (2011)

10/10/17

GRAPES: J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. **39** (2012) GST4-gen: Gaisser et al 2013 IceTop: Phys. Rev. D **88**, 042004 (2013) Poly-Gonato: Astropart. Phys. **19**, 193 (2003) Tibet: Adv. Space Res. **42**, 467(2008)

Comparison to Other Experiments





Takeaways from All-Particle Spectrum



- Normalization is **consistent** with direct detection experiments **and** air-shower array measurements.
- Spectrum displays **structure**:

$$\gamma_1 = -2.5$$

 $\gamma_2 = -2.7$
 $E_{\rm br} = 46 {\rm TeV}$

Consistent with dominant H+He spectrum observed
 by CREAM balloon experiment.
 arXiv:1710.00890
 Submitted to PRD



Small-Scale Anisotropy



546 days live-time 6.9 x 10^{10} events $E_{med} \sim 4$ TeV 10° smoothing

4 hr direct integration -> sensitive to features <60°

Usual suspects appear on small, **~10°**, angular scales

Region A of interest:

- Young, nearby sources
- Non-diff. propagation
- Heliospheric effects



Small-Scale Anisotropy: Region A



Region A extended cosmic ray excess $\sim 30^{\circ}$ Most significant point is at (α =62.2°, δ =-3.3°)



Small-Scale Anisotropy: Region A





White dot: max sig in combined map

Hampel, PhD Thesis, 2017

Region A Spectrum





Previous work* energy estimate used multiplicity energy proxy

Larger detector & improved energy estimation constrains spectral shape Statistics rapidly decreasing at highest E, more data needed to study region



Improvements to LS Sensitivity





*ApJ **823**:10 (2017) ²²

Improvements to LS Sensitivity



Monte Carlo: Method Comparison



Large-Scale Maps: Rel. Int.



0°

0

10.6 TeV

- Data set: 400 days
 Nov 2014 Feb 2017
- Zenith range 0°-60°
- 4% passing rate (cuts)
- 57 billion events
- Iterative method used



1.4 TeV

-24



Relative Intensity [$\times 10^{-4}$]

360

10/10/17

Preliminary

Large-Scale Maps: Significance

1.4 TeV

-3

-4

-2



0

0°

0

0

10.6 TeV

- Data set: 400 days
 Nov 2014 Feb 2017
- Zenith range 0°-60°
- 4% passing rate (cuts)
- 57 billion events
- Iterative method used



-0

Significance

1

2

3

4

-1

10/10/17

Preliminary

Large-Scale Maps: Dipole Fit





prior to phase flip and resurgence of amplitude.



Maps have been updated with larger data set (10x).

Less strict quality cuts set **passing rate to 40%** with no loss of E_{res} or dynamic range + extra 100 days of runtime.

Lowest energy bin amplitudes now **consistent** with ARGO.

Large-scale publication is currently under collaboration review.

Expect improved fits and a power spectrum.

The HAWC Observatory

TeV Anisotropy

Propagation Simulation

Propagation Sim on GPUs



- Developed a cosmic-ray propagation GPU simulation, written in OpenCL/PyOpenCL for portability (platform independent)
- Developed to back-trace particles in geomag. field for Moon shadow studies*, 10⁹ particles on 300-GPU cluster in 4 hrs (speedup of 90x over CPU cluster)
- Intend to use for fast testing magnetic field configurations, turbulence, anisotropy studies, etc.
- Implementation of Boris integrator, widely used in plasma physics

GPU - EOM Integrator



Integration of EOM difficult in rapidly changing fields.

DO NOT conserve energy for t >> δt , **DO** conserve energy

- Linear integration Euler step
- Runge Kutta 4 fixed / adaptive time step
- Boris fixed / adaptive time step (symplectic, explicit)



"Kinetic Plasma Simulations" by Anatoly Spitovsky

GPU Error Testing



- 10 GeV proton in **uniform** field ($|B_{Earth}| \sim 30 \mu T$) over >10⁴ orbits
- Orbit phase may deviate with Boris step



Number of Issues to Solve



- Form of Bfield
 - Tables: GPU's have limited storage capacity (~1 Gb)
 - Turbulence: random number generation difficult
- Verification of truncation errors for long integration periods
- But the speedups are worth it!
- Don't want to re-invent, looking to collaborate



32

*arXiv:1710.00890

Submitted to PRD

- The HAWC Observatory
- TeV Anisotropy
- Propagation Simulations
- Conclusions and Outlook

Conclusions



- HAWC is versatile TeV instrument, 1 billion events / day
- Improving upon and extending TeV studies
- Small-Scale Anisotropy
 - Region A significant excess up to 26 TeV
 - Constrained energy spectrum
- Large-Scale Anisotropy
 - Preliminary results consistent E<100 TeV
 - Updated maps coming soon including publication
- GPU cosmic-ray propagation routine
 - Promising speedups with symplectic / explicit method
 - Looking to collaborate



Thank you for your time and space!

