How to do a diffuse fit

Austin Schneider

What is a diffuse fit?

"Diffuse fit" is IceCube jargon for measuring the spectral properties of an isotropic astrophysical neutrino flux

"Isotropic" meaning that the neutrinos are equally likely to come from any direction

This scenario is not just a measurement we can make, but also corresponds to models where we cannot resolve individual sources of neutrinos

Statistics of a detector - Counting

Consider a detector that counts particles



Every time a particle hits the detector we receive a signal

If we leave the detector on for a long time, we will receive many signals which we can count





Statistics of a detector - Poisson





The number of particles we detect in a time **t** is a random variable

This is a poisson process, so the count is poisson distributed The probability of getting **k** counts is $P(k|\lambda) = \frac{\lambda^k e^{-\lambda}}{k!}$ Where λ is the number of expected counts in time **t**

Statistics of a detector - Likelihood

If we know the true mean expected number of counts λ then we can describe the probability of getting a certain outcome **k** when running the experiment $P(k|\lambda)$

However we often do not know the truth λ and only have the observation from our detector \boldsymbol{k}

We can instead talk about the likelihood of truth λ given observation **k**

$$\mathcal{L}(\lambda|k) = P(k|\lambda) = rac{\lambda^k e^{-\lambda}}{k!}$$
 Same formula, different concept

Statistics of a detector - Maximum likelihood

Defining the likelihood allows us to make an estimate of the parameter \lambda by finding the most likely value of \lambda, "Maximum Likelihood"

$$\hat{\lambda} = rg\max_{\lambda} \mathcal{L}(\lambda|k)$$

For the simple poisson case there is one easy solution

$$\hat{\lambda} = \arg\max_{\lambda} \mathcal{L}(\lambda|k) = \arg\max_{\lambda} \frac{\lambda^k e^{-\lambda}}{k!} = k$$

Statistics of a detector - A simple model

We can split our data into more than one bin

Consider 2 energy bins, we can count the data in each bin to get two measurements

Consider a flux model where the counts in bin 1 are 2x the counts in bin 2

We can construct a likelihood for this scenario that has a single parameter, in which the joint likelihood is a product of the likelihood for each bin

$$\lambda_1 = 2\lambda, \lambda_2 = \lambda \qquad \mathcal{L}(\lambda | \vec{k}) = \frac{(2\lambda)^{k_1} e^{-2\lambda}}{k_1!} \cdot \frac{(\lambda)^{k_2} e^{-\lambda}}{k_2!}$$

Hiah

energy

Low

energy

Statistics of a detector - General models

This approach works in general for any number of model parameters and any number of bins

 $\mathcal{L}(ec{ heta}ec{d})$ likelihood of model parameters $ec{ heta}$ given data $ec{d}$

Diffuse fit - Observables

To measure the diffuse astrophysical neutrino flux and the atmospheric neutrino flux, we care about energy and zenith

In IceCube, energy is reconstructed from the light observed in the detector

Generally more light \Rightarrow more energy, but we have reasonably sophisticated reconstruction techniques

Direction can also be reconstructed from the light signature

Observables - Energy

Energy is important because we expect different spectra from the atmosphere and a diffuse astrophysical flux



Observables - Zenith

Zenith is important because the atmosphere and the earth affect what we observe

Different amounts of atmosphere, ice, rock to pass through at each angle



Observables - Zenith

This effect is different for atmospheric and astrophysical neutrinos for 3 reasons

Location - atmospheric neutrinos are produced in the atmosphere

Spectrum - the spectrum is different for the two fluxes and the effect is energy dependent

Accompanying muons - atmospheric neutrinos that do not pass through the earth often have a sister muon that also hits the detector, while neutrinos from the other side of the earth have their sister muons blocked

Neutrino Interactions

Glashow

 $\overline{\nu}_l$

 e^{-}

Detectable through the weak interaction

Small cross section \rightarrow challenging to detect

O(100TeV) neutrinos have an interaction length O(R_{earth})



u/d

Observables - Topology



Observables - Topology



Event Detection And Topology Neutral-current / ve Charged-current v_u Charged-current v T (data) (simulation) (data) **Isolated energy Up-going track** "Double-bang" deposition (cascade) with no track

Factor of ~2 energy resolution < 1 degree angular resolution

15% deposited energy resolution 10 degree angular resolution (above 100 TeV) (none observed yet: τ decay length is 50 m/PeV)



Constructing the binning

So because both energy and zenith are important, we bin our data in reconstructed zenith and reconstructed energy to obtain a grid

How do we determine the expectation for each bin based on our model parameters?

The answer is simulation! (also called Monte Carlo)

Monte Carlo

We can simulate astrophysical neutrinos or atmospheric events to see what the detector response is.





Monte Carlo

The simulation can be binned just like our data to get an expectation of ourdetector response
AtmosphericAstrophysicalSum



Monte Carlo - Weighting

So that covers one hypothesis (or set of values for \theta)

What if we want to test another hypothesis?

We don't want to rerun the simulation because that's expensive...

So we reweight the simulation!

Weighting

Consider model A where we expect one event with some range of properties, after considering detector effects

We know that the flux of events like that in model B, is half that in model A

That means if we want to test model B, when we make our histogram, we should use an event weight of 1/2

Weighting Effectively for each event $w_i = \frac{\Phi_{\text{test}}(E_i, \theta_i)}{\Phi_{\text{generated}}(E_i, \theta_i)}$

The weight is the model flux divided by the flux used to generate the event

It is important to note that the fluxes we are referring to here are the neutrino flux before any detector or earth effects, the inputs to the simulation, so we use the true neutrino energy and zenith

Recall

We have a likelihood that is a product of poisson terms $\mathcal{L}(\vec{\theta}|\vec{d}) = \prod_{i} \frac{(\lambda_i)^{k_i} e^{-\lambda_i}}{k_i!}$

Where each λ_i is the expectation in a bin, which is a function of the nuisance

parameters
$$\mathcal{L}(\vec{\theta}|\vec{d}) = \prod_{i} \frac{(\lambda_{i}(\vec{\theta}))^{k_{i}} e^{-\lambda_{i}(\vec{\theta})}}{k_{i}!}$$

If we consider that our expectation comes from simulation then we know

$$\mathcal{L}(\vec{\theta}|\vec{d}) = \prod_{i} \frac{(\sum_{j} w_{j}(\vec{\theta}))^{k_{i}} e^{-\sum_{j} w_{j}(\vec{\theta})}}{k_{i}!} \quad \lambda_{i}(\vec{\theta}) = \sum_{j} w_{j}(\vec{\theta})$$
Which we maximize to obtain an estimate for $\vec{\theta}$

To the code!

Load the MC into events

Load the data

Define event weighting

Define bins

Define likelihood function

Minimize -logL w.r.t. parameters

DONE!

Code - Imports

import as np import as sp import import matplotlib %matplotlib inline import matplotlib.pyplot as plt import

Code - Load MC

f = open(..., "r")
json_contents = json.load(...)

print type(json_contents)

print json_contents.keys()

```
simulation_mapping = json_contents["mapping"]
simulation_events = np.array(json_contents["events"])
del json_contents
```

print type(simulation_mapping)

print simulation_mapping.keys()

```
sim_map = sorted(simulation_mapping.items(), key=lambda x: x[1])
sim_map
```

```
print simulation_events[:2]
[[(k, e[i]) for k, i in sim_map] for e in simulation_events[:2]]
```

Code - Load Data

json_contents =
data_mapping =
data_events =
del json contents

data_map = sorted(data_mapping.items(), key=lambda x: x[1])
data_map

print data_events[:2]
[[(k, e[i]) for k, i in data_map] for e in data_events[:2]]

Code - Event weighting

def weight_event(event, atmo_norm, astro_norm, astro_gamma): astroWeight = event[...,0]

return weight

Code - Binning

energy_bins = ...
zenith_bins = ...
topology_bins = ...

Code - Bin masks

```
energy_mapping = np.digitize(...) - 1
zenith_mapping =
topology_mapping =
bin_masks = []
for i in range(n_topology_bins):
    for j in range(n_zenith_bins):
        for k in range(n_energy_bins):
            pass
return bin_masks
```

Code - Bin masks

bin_masks = data_masks =

Code - Expectation

```
def get_expectation(events, masks, weighting):
    all_weights =
    weights = []
    for mask in masks:
        pass
        return np.array(weights)
```

Code - Likelihood

def llh(data, simulation_events, atmo_norm, astro_norm, astro_gamma):
 expect =
 l =
 l[np.logical_and(data == 0, expect == 0)] = 0
 l = np.sum(l).real
 return l

Test it
print llh(get_expectation(data_events, data_masks, lambda x: np.ones(x.
shape[0])), simulation_events, 1.0, 6.0, 2.9)

Code - Binned data

data = np.sum(np.array(data_masks), axis=1)
print data

Code - Minimize

res = sp.optimize.minimize(lambda x: llh(...), [1.0, 8.0, 2.5], method=
'L-BFGS-B', bounds=[[0,None],[0,None],[None,None]])

print res

print 'Atmospheric Normalization = ', res.x[0]
print 'Astrophysical Normalization = ', res.x[1]
print 'Astrophysical Gamma = ', res.x[2]